



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 1 Reference</p> <p>Definition</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS AND RECOMMENDED PRACTICES</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>CHAPTER 1. GENERAL</b></p> <p><i>Introductory Note.— Annex 14, Volume II, contains Standards and Recommended Practices (specifications) that prescribe the physical characteristics and obstacle limitation surfaces to be provided for at heliports, and certain facilities and technical services normally provided at a heliport. It is not intended that these specifications limit or regulate the operation of an aircraft.</i></p> <p><i>When designing a heliport, the critical design helicopter, having the largest set of dimensions and the greatest maximum take-off mass (MTOM) the heliport is intended to serve, would need to be considered.</i></p> <p><i>It is to be noted that provisions for helicopter flight operations are contained in Annex 6, Part III.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>1.1 Definitions</b></p> <p>Annex 14, Volume I, contains definitions for the terms which are used in both volumes. Those definitions are not reproduced in this volume, with the exception of the following two, which are included for ease of reference:</p> <p><b>Heliport.</b> An aerodrome or a defined area on a structure intended to be used wholly or in part for the arrival,</p>	<p>AC139-8 Definitions.</p>	<p>No Difference</p>		<p>Note: See comment at Standard 1.2.</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
	departure and surface movement of helicopters.				
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<p><b>Obstacle.</b> All fixed (whether temporary or permanent) and mobile objects, or parts thereof, that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) are located on an area intended for the surface movement of aircraft; or</li> <li>b) extend above a defined surface intended to protect aircraft in flight; or</li> <li>c) stand outside those defined surfaces and that have been assessed as being a hazard to air navigation.</li> </ul> <p>The following list contains definitions of terms that are used only in Volume II, with the meanings given below.</p>	AC139-6.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Current AC wording does not include c).	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<p><b>D.</b> The largest overall dimension of the helicopter when rotor(s) are turning measured from the most forward position of the main rotor tip path plane to the most rearward position of the tail rotor tip path plane or helicopter structure.</p>	Advisory Circular AC139-8, Aerodrome design, heliports, 3.3.2.	No Difference		
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<p><b>Design D.</b> The D of the design helicopter.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>D-value.</b> A limiting dimension, in terms of “D”, for a heliport, helideck or shipboard heliport, or for a defined area within.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Declared distances — heliports.</b>  a) <i>Take-off distance available (TODAH).</i> The length of the FATO plus the length of helicopter clearway (if provided) declared available and suitable for helicopters to complete the take-off.  b) <i>Rejected take-off distance available (RTODAH).</i> The length of the FATO declared available and suitable for helicopters operated in performance class 1 to complete a rejected take-off.  c) <i>Landing distance available (LDAH).</i> The length of the FATO plus any additional area declared available and suitable for helicopters to complete the landing manoeuvre from a defined height.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Dynamic load-bearing surface.</b> A surface capable of supporting the loads generated by a helicopter in motion.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Elevated heliport.</i> A heliport located on a raised structure on land.	AC139-8 Definitions.	No Difference		
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Elongated.</i> When used with TLOF or FATO, elongated means an area which has a length more than twice its width.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Final approach and take-off area (FATO).</i> A defined area over which the final phase of the approach manoeuvre to hover or landing is completed and from which the take-off manoeuvre is commenced. Where the FATO is to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 1, the defined area includes the rejected take-off area available.	AC139-8 Definitions.	No Difference		
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Helicopter clearway.</i> A defined area on the ground or water, selected and/or prepared as a suitable area over which a helicopter operated in performance class 1 may accelerate and achieve a specific height.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Helicopter stand.</i> A defined area intended to accommodate a helicopter for purposes of: loading or unloading passengers, mail or cargo; fuelling, parking or maintenance; and, where air taxiing operations are contemplated, the TLOF.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Helicopter taxiway.</b> A defined path on a heliport intended for the ground movement of helicopters and that may be combined with an air taxi-route to permit both ground and air taxiing.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Helicopter taxi-route.</b> A defined path established for the movement of helicopters from one part of a heliport to another.  a) <i>Air taxi-route.</i> A marked taxi-route intended for air taxiing.  b) <i>Ground taxi-route.</i> A taxi-route centred on a taxiway.	AC139-8.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Helideck.</b> A heliport located on a fixed or floating offshore facility such as an exploration and/or production unit used for the exploitation of oil or gas.	AC139-8 Definitions.	No Difference		
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Heliport elevation.</b> The elevation of the highest point of the FATO.	AC139-8.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Heliport reference point (HRP).</b> The designated location of a heliport.	AC139-8.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Point-in-space (PinS) approach.</b> The point-in-space approach is based on GNSS and is an approach procedure designed for helicopter only. It is aligned with a reference point located to permit subsequent flight manoeuvring or approach and landing using visual manoeuvring in adequate visual conditions to see and avoid obstacles.	AC139-8.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Point-in-space (PinS) visual segment.</b> This is the segment of a helicopter PinS approach procedure from the MAPt to the landing location for a PinS “proceed visually” procedure. This visual segment connects the PinS to the landing location.  <i>Note.— The procedure design criteria for a PinS approach and the detailed design requirements for a visual segment are established in the Procedures for Air Navigation Services — Aircraft Operations (PANS-OPS, Doc 8168).</i>	AC139-8.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Protection area.</b> A defined area surrounding a stand intended to reduce the risk of damage from helicopters accidentally diverging from the stand.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Rejected take-off area.</b> A defined area on a heliport suitable for helicopters operating in performance class 1 to complete a rejected take-off.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Runway-type FATO.</b> A FATO having characteristics similar in shape to a runway.	AC139-8.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Safety area.</b> A defined area on a heliport surrounding the FATO which is free of obstacles, other than those required for air navigation purposes, and intended to reduce the risk of damage to helicopters accidentally diverging from the FATO.	AC139-8 Definitions.	No Difference		
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Shipboard heliport.</b> A heliport located on a ship that may be purpose or non-purpose-built. A purpose-built shipboard heliport is one designed specifically for helicopter operations. A non-purpose-built shipboard heliport is one that utilizes an area of the ship that is capable of supporting a helicopter but not designed specifically for that task.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	Common usage term.
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<b>Static load-bearing surface.</b> A surface capable of supporting the mass of a helicopter situated upon it.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Surface-level heliport.</i> A heliport located on the ground or on a structure on the surface of the water.	AC139-8 Definitions.	No Difference		
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Touchdown and lift-off area (TLOF).</i> An area on which a helicopter may touch down or lift off.	AC139-8 Definitions.	No Difference		
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Touchdown/positioning circle (TDPC).</i> A touchdown positioning marking (TDPM) in the form of a circle used for omnidirectional positioning in a TLOF.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Touchdown/positioning marking (TDPM).</i> A marking or set of markings providing visual cues for the positioning of helicopters.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 1 Reference  Definition	<i>Winching area.</i> An area provided for the transfer by helicopter of personnel or stores to or from a ship.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 1 Reference 1.2.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>1.2 Applicability</b></p> <p><i>Note.— The dimensions discussed in this Annex are based on consideration of single-main-rotor helicopters. For tandem-rotor helicopters the heliport design will be based on a case-by-case review of the specific models using the basic requirement for a safety area and protection areas specified in this Annex. The specifications of the main chapters of this Annex are applicable for visual heliports that may or may not incorporate the use of a Point-in-space approach or departure. Additional specifications for instrument heliports with non-precision and/or precision approaches and instrument departures are detailed in the Appendix. The specifications of this Annex are not applicable for water heliports (touchdown or lift-off on the surface of the water).</i></p> <p>1.2.1 The interpretation of some of the specifications in this Annex expressly requires the exercising of discretion, the taking of a decision or the performance of a function by the appropriate authority. In other specifications, the expression appropriate authority does not actually appear although its inclusion is implied. In both cases, the responsibility for whatever determination or action is necessary shall rest with the State having jurisdiction over the heliport.</p>	AC139-8.	No Difference		Note: New Zealand has no heliports that could be classed as supporting international operations, and heliports are not licensed under the Civil Aviation Rules. However, selected SARPs have been incorporated in Advisory Circular AC139-8. This AC gives guidance for the establishment of heliports in populous areas, and in para 1.1.1, notes that the AC is not exhaustive, referring the reader to Annex 14 Vol II for further guidance. Compliance data for SARPs are referenced mainly to the AC, which is currently being revised.
Chapter 1 Reference 1.2.2  Standard	1.2.2 The specifications in this Annex shall apply to all heliports intended to be used by helicopters in international civil aviation. They shall apply equally to areas for the exclusive use of helicopters at an aerodrome primarily meant for the use of aeroplanes. Where relevant, the provisions of Annex 14, Volume I, shall apply to the helicopter operations being conducted at such an aerodrome.	AC139-6, AC139-8.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 1 Reference 1.2.3  Standard	1.2.3 Unless otherwise specified, the specification for a colour referred to in this Annex shall be that contained in Appendix 1 to Annex 14, Volume I.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 1 Reference 1.3.1  Standard	<p><b>1.3 Common reference systems</b></p> <p>1.3.1 Horizontal reference system</p> <p>World Geodetic System — 1984 (WGS-84) shall be used as the horizontal (geodetic) reference system. Reported aeronautical geographical coordinates (indicating latitude and longitude) shall be expressed in terms of the WGS-84 geodetic reference datum.</p> <p><i>Note.— Comprehensive guidance material concerning WGS-84 is contained in the World Geodetic System — 1984 (WGS-84) Manual (Doc 9674).</i></p>	AIPNZ GEN 2.1, 2.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 1 Reference 1.3.2  Standard	<p>1.3.2 Vertical reference system</p> <p>Mean sea level (MSL) datum, which gives the relationship of gravity-related height (elevation) to a surface known as the geoid, shall be used as the vertical reference system.</p> <p><i>Note 1.— The geoid globally most closely approximates MSL. It is defined as the equipotential surface in the gravity field of the Earth which coincides with the undisturbed MSL extended continuously through the continents.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— Gravity-related heights (elevations) are also referred to as orthometric heights while distances of points above the ellipsoid are referred to as ellipsoidal heights.</i></p>	CAR Part 1.	No Difference		See definitions "altitude" and "elevation" for application of datum.
Chapter 1 Reference 1.3.3.1  Standard	<p>1.3.3 Temporal reference system</p> <p>1.3.3.1 The Gregorian calendar and Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) shall be used as the temporal reference system.</p>	CAR 172.101(a)(1).	No Difference		
Chapter 1 Reference 1.3.3.2  Standard	<p>1.3.3.2 When a different temporal reference system is used, this shall be indicated in GEN 2.1.2 of the Aeronautical Information Publication (AIP).</p>		Not Applicable		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 2 Reference 2.1.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CHAPTER 2. HELIPORT DATA</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>2.1 Aeronautical data</b></p> <p>2.1.1 Determination and reporting of heliport-related aeronautical data shall be in accordance with the accuracy and integrity classification required to meet the needs of the end-users of aeronautical data.</p> <p><i>Note.— Specifications concerning the accuracy and integrity classification of heliport-related aeronautical data are contained in the PANS-AIM (Doc 10066), Appendix 1.</i></p>	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 2 Reference 2.1.2  Standard	<p>2.1.2 Digital data error detection techniques shall be used during the transmission and/or storage of aeronautical data and digital data sets.</p> <p><i>Note.— Detailed specifications concerning digital data error detection techniques are contained in the PANS-AIM (Doc 10066).</i></p>	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 2 Reference 2.2.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>2.2 Heliport reference point</b></p> <p>2.2.1 A heliport reference point shall be established for a heliport not collocated with an aerodrome.</p> <p><i>Note.— When the heliport is collocated with an aerodrome, the established aerodrome reference point serves both aerodrome and heliport.</i></p>	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 2 Reference 2.2.2  Standard	2.2.2 The heliport reference point shall be located near the initial or planned geometric centre of the heliport and shall normally remain where first established.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 2 Reference 2.2.3  Standard	2.2.3 The position of the heliport reference point shall be measured and reported to the aeronautical information services authority in degrees, minutes and seconds.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 2 Reference 2.3.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>2.3 Heliport elevations</b></p> <p>2.3.1 The heliport elevation and geoid undulation at the heliport elevation position shall be measured and reported to aeronautical information services to the accuracy of one-half metre or foot.</p>	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 2 Reference 2.3.2  Standard	<p>2.3.2 The elevation of the TLOF and/or the elevation and geoid undulation of each threshold of the FATO (where appropriate) shall be measured and reported to aeronautical information services to the accuracy of one-half metre or foot.</p> <p><i>Note.— Geoid undulation must be measured in accordance with the appropriate system of coordinates.</i></p>	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 2 Reference 2.4.1</p> <p><b>Standard</b></p>	<p><b>2.4 Heliport dimensions and related information</b></p> <p>2.4.1 The following data shall be measured or described, as appropriate, for each facility provided on a heliport:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) heliport type — surface-level, elevated, shipboard or helideck;</li> <li>b) TLOF — dimensions to the nearest metre or foot, slope, surface type, bearing strength in tonnes (1 000 kg);</li> <li>c) FATO — type of FATO, true bearing to one-hundredth of a degree, designation number (where appropriate), length and width to the nearest metre or foot, slope, surface type;</li> <li>d) safety area — length, width and surface type;</li> <li>e) helicopter taxiway and helicopter taxi-route — designation, width, surface type;</li> <li>f) apron — surface type, helicopter stands;</li> <li>g) clearway — length, ground profile; and</li> <li>h) visual aids for approach procedures, marking and lighting of FATO, TLOF, helicopter taxiways, helicopter taxi-routes and helicopter stands.</li> </ul>	<p>AC139-8, 2.1</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Item g) is not specified; i) is not applicable.</p>	
<p>Chapter 2 Reference 2.4.2</p> <p><b>Standard</b></p>	<p>2.4.2 The geographical coordinates of the geometric centre of the TLOF and/or of each threshold of the FATO (where appropriate) shall be measured and reported to aeronautical information services in degrees, minutes, seconds and hundredths of seconds.</p>	<p>CARs.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 2 Reference 2.4.3  Standard	2.4.3 The geographical coordinates of appropriate centre line points of helicopter taxiways and helicopter taxi-routes shall be measured and reported to aeronautical information services in degrees, minutes, seconds and hundredths of seconds.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 2 Reference 2.4.4  Standard	2.4.4 The geographical coordinates of each helicopter stand shall be measured and reported to aeronautical information services in degrees, minutes, seconds and hundredths of seconds.	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 2 Reference 2.4.5  Standard	2.4.5 The geographical coordinates of obstacles in Area 2 (the part within the heliport boundary) and in Area 3 shall be measured and reported to the aeronautical information services authority in degrees, minutes, seconds and tenths of seconds. In addition, the top elevation, type, marking and lighting (if any) of obstacles shall be reported to aeronautical information services.  <i>Note.— PANS-AIM (Doc 10066), Appendix 8, provides requirements for obstacle data determination in Areas 2 and 3.</i>	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 2 Reference 2.5  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>2.5 Declared distances</b></p> <p>The following distances to the nearest metre or foot shall be declared, where relevant, for a heliport:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) take-off distance available;</li> <li>b) rejected take-off distance available; and</li> <li>c) landing distance available.</li> </ul>	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 2 Reference 2.6.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>2.6 Coordination between aeronautical information services and heliport authorities</b></p> <p>2.6.1 To ensure that aeronautical information services units obtain information to enable them to provide up-to-date pre-flight information and to meet the need for in-flight information, arrangements shall be made between aeronautical information services and heliport authorities responsible for heliport services to report to the responsible aeronautical information services unit, with a minimum of delay:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) information on heliport conditions;</li> <li>b) the operational status of associated facilities, services and navigation aids within their area of responsibility;</li> <li>c) any other information considered to be of operational significance.</li> </ul>	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 2 Reference 2.6.2  Standard	2.6.2 Before introducing changes to the air navigation system, due account shall be taken by the services responsible for such changes of the time needed by the aeronautical information service for the preparation, production and issue of relevant material for promulgation. To ensure timely provision of the information to aeronautical information services, close coordination between those services concerned is therefore required.		Not Applicable		There are no public-use heliports with navigation aids in New Zealand.
Chapter 2 Reference 2.6.3  Standard	2.6.3 Of a particular importance are changes to aeronautical information that affect charts and/or computer-based navigation systems which qualify to be notified by the aeronautical information regulation and control (AIRAC) system, as specified in Annex 15, Chapter 6. The predetermined, internationally agreed AIRAC effective dates shall be observed by the responsible heliport services when submitting the raw information/data to aeronautical information services.  <i>Note.— Detailed specifications concerning the AIRAC system are contained in the PANS-AIM (Doc 10066), Chapter 6.</i>	CARs.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	Note: heliport details published in AIPNZ are amended in accordance with the AIRAC cycle.



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 2 Reference 2.6.4</p> <p>Standard</p>	<p>2.6.4 The heliport services responsible for the provision of raw aeronautical information/data to aeronautical information services shall do that while taking into account accuracy and integrity requirements necessary to meet the needs of the end-user of aeronautical data.</p> <p><i>Note 1.— Specifications concerning the accuracy and integrity classification of heliport-related aeronautical data are contained in the PANS-AIM (Doc 10066), Appendix 1.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— Specifications for the issue of a NOTAM and SNOTAM are contained in Annex 15, Chapter 6 and the PANS-AIM (Doc 10066), Appendices 3 and 4, respectively.</i></p> <p><i>Note 3.— AIRAC information is distributed by aeronautical information services at least 42 days in advance of the AIRAC effective dates with the objective of reaching recipients at least 28 days in advance of the effective date.</i></p> <p><i>Note 4.— The schedule of the predetermined internationally agreed AIRAC common effective dates at intervals of 28 days and guidance for AIRAC use are contained in the Aeronautical Information Services Manual (Doc 8126, Chapter 2).</i></p>	<p>CARs.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	
<p>Chapter 2 Reference 2.7.1</p> <p>Standard</p>	<p><b>2.7 Rescue and firefighting</b></p> <p><i>Note.— See 6.2 for information on rescue and firefighting services.</i></p> <p>2.7.1 Information concerning the level of protection provided at a heliport for helicopter rescue and firefighting purposes shall be made available.</p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 2 Reference 2.7.2  Recommendation	2.7.2 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The level of protection normally available at a heliport should be expressed in terms of the category of the rescue and firefighting service as described in 6.2 and in accordance with the types and amounts of extinguishing agents normally available at the heliport.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 2 Reference 2.7.3  Standard	2.7.3 Changes in the level of protection normally available at a heliport for rescue and firefighting shall be notified to the appropriate aeronautical information services units and, where applicable, air traffic units to enable them to provide the necessary information to arriving and departing helicopters. When such a change has been corrected, the above units shall be advised accordingly.  <i>Note. — Changes in the level of protection from that normally available at the heliport could result from, but may not be limited to, a change in the availability of extinguishing agent or equipment used to deliver agents, or of personnel used to operate the equipment.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 2 Reference 2.7.4  Recommendation	2.7.4 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A change should be expressed in terms of the new category of the rescue and firefighting service available at the heliport.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.1</p> <p>Standard</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CHAPTER 3. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>3.1 Onshore heliports</b></p> <p><i>Note 1.— The provisions given in this section are based on the design assumption that no more than one helicopter will be in the FATO at the same time.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— The design provisions given in this section assume when conducting operations to a FATO in proximity to another FATO, these operations will not be simultaneous. If simultaneous helicopter operations are required, appropriate separation distances between FATOs need to be determined, giving due regard to such issues as rotor downwash and airspace, and ensuring the flight paths for each FATO, defined in Chapter 4, do not overlap. Further guidance on this issue is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><i>Note 3.— The provisions given in this section are common for surface-level heliports and elevated heliports unless otherwise specified.</i></p> <p><i>Note 4.— Guidance on the minimum size for elevated FATO/TLOF in order to permit facilitation of essential operations around the helicopter is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><i>Note 5.— Guidance on structural design to account for the presence on elevated heliports of personnel, snow, freight, and refuelling and firefighting equipment, etc. is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
	<p><i>Note 6.— Guidance on siting of a heliport and the location of the various defined areas, with due consideration of the effects of rotor downwash and other aspects of helicopter operations on third parties, is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><b>Final approach and take-off area (FATO)</b></p> <p><i>Note. — Guidance on siting and orientation of the FATO at a heliport to minimize interference of arrival and departure tracks with areas approved for residential use and other noise-sensitive areas close to the heliport is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p>3.1.1 A FATO shall:</p> <p>a) provide:</p> <p>1) an area free of obstacles, except for essential objects which because of their function are located on it, and of sufficient size and shape to ensure containment of every part of the design helicopter in the final phase of approach and commencement of take-off in accordance with the intended procedures;</p> <p><i>Note.— Essential objects are visual aids (e.g. lighting) or others (e.g. firefighting systems) necessary for safety purposes. For further requirements regarding penetration of a FATO by essential objects, see 3.1.4.</i></p> <p>2) when solid, a surface which is resistant to the effects of rotor downwash; and</p> <p>i) when collocated with a TLOF, is contiguous</p>				



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
	<p>and flush with the TLOF, has bearing strength capable of withstanding the intended loads and ensures effective drainage; or</p> <p>ii) when not collocated with a TLOF, is free of hazards should a forced landing be required;</p> <p><i>Note.— Resistant implies that effects from rotor downwash neither cause a degradation of the surface nor result in flying debris.</i></p> <p>and</p> <p>b) be associated with a safety area.</p>				
<p>Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.2  Standard</p>	<p>3.1.2 A heliport shall be provided with at least one FATO, which need not be solid.</p> <p><i>Note.— A FATO may be located on or near a runway strip or taxiway strip.</i></p>	<p>AC139-8, 3.1.1.</p>	<p>No Difference</p>		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.3  Standard</p>	<p>3.1.3 The minimum dimensions of a FATO shall be:</p> <p>a) where intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 1:</p> <p>1) the length of the rejected take-off distance (RTOD) for the required take-off procedure prescribed in the helicopter flight manual (HFM) of the helicopters for which the FATO is intended, or 1.5 Design D, whichever is greater; and</p> <p>2) the width for the required procedure prescribed in the HFM of the helicopters for which the FATO is intended, or 1.5 Design D, whichever is greater;</p> <p>and</p> <p>b) where intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 2 or 3, the lesser of:</p> <p>1) an area within which can be drawn a circle of diameter of 1.5 Design D; or</p> <p>2) when there is a limitation on the direction of approach and touchdown, an area of sufficient width to meet the requirement of 3.1.1 a) 1) but not less than 1.5 times the overall width of the design helicopter.</p> <p><i>Note 1.— The RTOD is intended to ensure containment of the helicopter during a rejected take-off. Although some HFMs provide the RTOD, in others the dimension provided is the “minimum demonstrated ... size” (where “...” could be “heliport”, “runway”, “helideck”, etc.) and this may not include helicopter containment. When this is the case, it is</i></p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
	<p><i>necessary to consider sufficient safety area dimensions as well as the dimensions of 1.5-D for the FATO, should the HFM not deliver data. For further guidance see the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— Local conditions, such as elevation, temperature and permitted manoeuvring may need to be considered when determining the size of a FATO. Guidance is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>				
<p>Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.4</p> <p><b>Standard</b></p>	<p>3.1.4 Essential objects located in a FATO shall not penetrate a horizontal plane at the FATO elevation by more than 5 cm.</p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>
<p>Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.5</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b></p>	<p>3.1.5 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>When the FATO is solid, the slope should not:</i></p> <p><i>a) except as provided in b) or c) below, exceed 2 per cent in any direction;</i></p> <p><i>b) when the FATO is elongated and intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 1, exceed 3 per cent overall, or have a local slope exceeding 5 per cent; and</i></p> <p><i>c) when the FATO is elongated and intended to be used solely by helicopters operated in performance class 2 or 3, exceed 3 per cent overall, or have a local slope exceeding 7 per cent.</i></p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.6  Recommendation	<p>3.1.6 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The FATO should be located so as to minimize the influence of the surrounding environment, including turbulence, which could have an adverse impact on helicopter operations.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>Guidance on determining the influence of turbulence is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261). If turbulence mitigating design measures are warranted but not practical, operational limitations may need to be considered under certain wind conditions.</i></p>	AC139-8, 3.1.26.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Provides only for jet efflux or aeroplane wake turbulence.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.7  Standard	<p>3.1.7 A FATO shall be surrounded by a safety area which need not be solid.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.8  Standard	<p><b>Safety areas</b></p> <p>3.1.8 A safety area shall provide:</p> <p>a) an area free of obstacles, except for essential objects which because of their function are located on it, to compensate for manoeuvring errors; and</p> <p>b) when solid, a surface which is contiguous and flush with the FATO, is resistant to the effects of rotor downwash and ensures effective drainage.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.9  Standard	<p>3.1.9 The safety area surrounding a FATO shall extend outwards from the periphery of the FATO for a distance of at least 3 m or 0.25 Design D, whichever is greater (see Figure 3-1).</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.10  Standard	3.1.10 No mobile object shall be permitted in a safety area during helicopter operations.	AC139-8, 3.1.16.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.11  Standard	3.1.11 Essential objects located in the safety area shall not penetrate a surface originating at the edge of the FATO at a height of 25 cm above the plane of the FATO sloping upwards and outwards at a gradient of 5 per cent.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.12  Recommendation	3.1.12 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>When solid, the slope of the safety area should not exceed an upward slope of 4 per cent outwards from the edge of the FATO.</i>	AC139-8, 3.1.18.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.13  Standard	<b>Protected side slope</b>  3.1.13 A heliport shall be provided with at least one protected side slope, rising at 45 degrees from the edge of the safety area and extending to a distance of 10 m (see Figure 3-2).	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.14  Recommendation	3.1.14 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A heliport should be provided with at least two protected side slopes, rising at 45 degrees outward from the edge of the safety area and extending to a distance of 10 m.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.15  Standard	3.1.15 The surface of a protected side slope shall not be penetrated by obstacles.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.16  Standard	<p><b>Helicopter clearways</b></p> <p><i>Note.— The inclusion of detailed specifications for helicopter clearways in this section is not intended to imply that a clearway has to be provided.</i></p> <p>3.1.16 A helicopter clearway shall provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) an area free of obstacles, except for essential objects which because of their function are located on it, and of sufficient size and shape to ensure containment of the design helicopter when it is accelerating in level flight, and close to the surface, to achieve its safe climbing speed; and</li> <li>b) when solid, a surface which is contiguous and flush with the FATO, is resistant to the effects of rotor downwash and is free of hazards should a forced landing be required.</li> </ul>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.17  Standard	3.1.17 When a helicopter clearway is provided, it shall be located beyond the end of the FATO.	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.18  Recommendation	3.1.18 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The width of a helicopter clearway should not be less than that of the FATO and associated safety area (see Figure 3-1).</i>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.19  Recommendation	3.1.19 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>When solid, the ground in a helicopter clearway should not project above a plane having an overall upward slope of 3 per cent, or having a local upward slope exceeding 5 per cent, the lower limit of this plane being a horizontal line which is located on the periphery of the FATO.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.20  Recommendation	3.1.20 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>An object situated in a helicopter clearway, which may endanger helicopters in the air, should be regarded as an obstacle and should be removed.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.21  Standard</p>	<p><b><i>Touchdown and lift-off area (TLOF)</i></b></p> <p>3.1.21 A TLOF shall:</p> <p>a) provide:</p> <p>1) an area free of obstacles and of sufficient size and shape to ensure containment of the undercarriage of the most demanding helicopter the TLOF is intended to serve in accordance with the intended orientation;</p> <p>2) a surface which:</p> <p>i) has sufficient bearing strength to accommodate the dynamic loads associated with the anticipated type of arrival of the helicopter at the designated TLOF;</p> <p>ii) is free of irregularities that would adversely affect the touchdown or lift-off of helicopters;</p> <p>iii) has sufficient friction to avoid skidding of helicopters or slipping of persons; and</p> <p>iv) is resistant to the effects of rotor downwash;</p> <p>v) ensures effective drainage while having no adverse effect on the control or stability of a helicopter during touchdown and lift-off, or when stationary;</p> <p>and</p> <p>b) be associated with a FATO or a stand.</p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.22  Standard	3.1.22 A heliport shall be provided with at least one TLOF.	AC139-8, 3.1.7.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.23  Standard	3.1.23 A TLOF shall be provided whenever it is intended that the undercarriage of the helicopter will touch down within a FATO or stand, or lift off from a FATO or stand.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.24  Standard	<p>3.1.24 The minimum dimensions of a TLOF shall be:</p> <p>a) when in a FATO intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 1, the dimensions for the required procedure prescribed in the HFMs of the helicopters for which the TLOF is intended; and</p> <p>b) when in a FATO intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 2 or 3, or in a stand:</p> <p>1) when there is no limitation on the direction of touchdown, of sufficient size to contain a circle of diameter of at least 0.83 D of:</p> <p>i) in a FATO, the design helicopter; or</p> <p>ii) in a stand, the largest helicopter the stand is intended to serve; and</p> <p>2) when there is a limitation on the direction of touchdown, of sufficient width to meet the requirement of 3.1.21 a) 1) but not less than twice the undercarriage width (UCW) of:</p> <p>i) in a FATO, the design helicopter; or</p> <p>ii) in a stand, the most demanding helicopter the stand is intended to serve.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.25  Standard	<p>3.1.25 For an elevated heliport, the minimum dimensions of a TLOF, when in a FATO, shall be of sufficient size to contain a circle of diameter of at least 1 Design D.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.26  Recommendation	<p>3.1.26 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The slope on a TLOF should not:</i></p> <p><i>a) except as provided in b) or c) below, exceed 2 per cent in any direction;</i></p> <p><i>b) when the TLOF is elongated and intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 1, exceed 3 per cent overall, or have a local slope exceeding 5 per cent; and</i></p> <p><i>c) when the TLOF is elongated and intended to be used solely by helicopters operated in performance class 2 or 3, exceed 3 per cent overall, or have a local slope exceeding 7 per cent.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.27  Recommendation	<p>3.1.27 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>When a TLOF is within a FATO, it should be:</i></p> <p><i>a) centred on the FATO; or</i></p> <p><i>b) for an elongated FATO, centred on the longitudinal axis of the FATO.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.28  Standard	<p>3.1.28 When a TLOF is within a helicopter stand, it shall be centred on the stand.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.29  Standard	<p>3.1.29 A TLOF shall be provided with markings which clearly indicate the touchdown position and, by their form, any limitations on manoeuvring.</p> <p><i>Note.— When a TLOF in a FATO is larger than the minimum dimensions, the touchdown/positioning marking (TDPM) may be offset while ensuring containment of the undercarriage within the TLOF and the helicopter within the FATO.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.30  Recommendation	<p>3.1.30 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Where an elongated performance class 1 FATO/TLOF contains more than one TDPM, measures should be in place to ensure that only one can be used at a time.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.31  Recommendation	<p>3.1.31 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Where alternative TDPMs are provided, they should be placed to ensure containment of the undercarriage within the TLOF and the helicopter within the FATO.</i></p> <p><i>Note.— The efficacy of the rejected take-off or landing distance will be dependent upon the helicopter being correctly positioned for take-off or landing.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.32  Standard	<p>3.1.32 Safety devices such as safety nets or safety shelves shall be located around the edge of an elevated heliport but shall not exceed the height of the TLOF.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.33  Standard</p>	<p><b>Helicopter taxiways and taxi-routes</b></p> <p><i>Note 1.— The specifications for ground taxi-routes and air taxi-routes are intended for the safety of simultaneous operations during the manoeuvring of helicopters. The effect of wind velocity/turbulence induced by rotor downwash would need to be considered.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— The defined areas addressed in this section are:</i></p> <p><i>a) taxiways associated with air taxi-routes which may be used by both wheeled and skidded helicopters for either ground or air taxiing;</i></p> <p><i>b) ground taxi-routes which are meant for use by wheeled helicopters for ground taxiing only; and</i></p> <p><i>c) air taxi-routes which are meant for use by air taxiing only.</i></p> <p><b>Helicopter taxiways</b></p> <p><i>Note 1.— A helicopter taxiway is intended to permit the surface movement of a wheeled helicopter under its own power.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— A helicopter taxiway may be used by a wheeled helicopter for air taxi if associated with a helicopter air taxi-route.</i></p> <p><i>Note 3.— When a taxiway is intended for use by aeroplanes and helicopters, the provisions for aeroplane taxiways, taxiway strips, helicopter taxiways and taxi-routes will be taken into consideration and the more stringent requirements will be applied.</i></p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
	<p>3.1.33 A helicopter taxiway shall:</p> <p>a) provide:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) an area free of obstacles and of sufficient width to ensure containment of the undercarriage of the most demanding wheeled helicopter the taxiway is intended to serve;</li> <li>2) a surface which:                             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) has bearing strength to accommodate the taxiing loads of the helicopters the taxiway is intended to serve;</li> <li>ii) is free of irregularities that would adversely affect the ground taxiing of helicopters; and</li> <li>iii) is resistant to the effects of rotor downwash;</li> <li>iv) ensures effective drainage while having no adverse effect on the control or stability of a wheeled helicopter when being manoeuvred under its own power, or when stationary;</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>and</p> <p>b) be associated with a taxi-route.</p>				



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.34  Standard	3.1.34 The minimum width of a helicopter taxiway shall be the lesser of:  a) twice the UCW of the most demanding helicopter the taxiway is intended to serve; or  b) a width meeting the requirements of 3.1.33 a) 1).	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.35  Recommendation	3.1.35 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The transverse slope of a taxiway should not exceed 2 per cent and the longitudinal slope should not exceed 3 per cent.</i>		Not Applicable		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.36  Standard	<p><b>Helicopter taxi-routes</b></p> <p>3.1.36 A helicopter taxi-route shall provide:</p> <p>a) an area free of obstacles, except for essential objects which because of their function are located on it, established for the movement of helicopters; of sufficient width to ensure containment of the largest helicopter the taxi-route is intended to serve; and</p> <p>b) when solid, a surface which is resistant to the effects of rotor downwash; and</p> <p>1) when collocated with a taxiway:</p> <p>i) is contiguous and flush with the taxiway;</p> <p>ii) does not present a hazard to operations;</p> <p>and</p> <p>iii) ensures effective drainage; and</p> <p>2) when not collocated with a taxiway, is free of hazards should a forced landing be required.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.37  Standard	<p>3.1.37 No mobile object shall be permitted on a taxi-route during helicopter operations.</p> <p><i>Note.— See the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261) for further guidance.</i></p>		Not Applicable		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.38  Recommendation	3.1.38 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>When solid and collocated with a taxiway, the taxi-route should not exceed an upward transverse slope of 4 per cent outwards from the edge of the taxiway.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.39  Standard	<b>Helicopter ground taxi-routes</b>  3.1.39 A helicopter ground taxi-route shall have a minimum width of 1.5 times the overall width of the largest helicopter it is intended to serve, and be centred on a taxiway. (See Figure 3-3.)	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.40  Standard	3.1.40 Essential objects located in a helicopter ground taxi-route shall not:  a) be located at a distance of less than 50 cm outwards from the edge of the helicopter taxiway; and  b) penetrate a surface originating 50 cm outwards of the edge of the helicopter taxiway and a height of 25 cm above the surface of the taxiway and sloping upwards and outwards at a gradient of 5 per cent.		Not Applicable		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.41  Standard	<p><b>Helicopter air taxi-routes</b></p> <p><i>Note.— A helicopter air-taxi route is intended to permit the movement of a helicopter above the surface at a height normally associated with ground effect and at ground speed less than 37 km/h (20 kt).</i></p> <p>3.1.41 A helicopter air taxi-route shall have a minimum width of twice the overall width of the largest helicopter it is intended to serve.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.42  Standard	<p>3.1.42 If collocated with a taxiway for the purpose of permitting both ground and air taxi operations (see Figure 3-4):</p> <p>a) the helicopter air taxi-route shall be centred on the taxiway; and</p> <p>b) essential objects located in the helicopter air taxi-route shall not:</p> <p>1) be located at a distance of less than 50 cm outwards from the edge of the helicopter taxiway; and</p> <p>2) penetrate a surface originating 50 cm outwards of the edge of the helicopter taxiway and a height of 25 cm above the surface of the taxiway and sloping upwards and outwards at a gradient of 5 per cent.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.43  Recommendation	3.1.43 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>When not collocated with a taxiway, the slopes of the surface of an air taxi-route should not exceed the slope landing limitations of the helicopters the taxi-route is intended to serve. In any event, the transverse slope should not exceed 10 per cent and the longitudinal slope should not exceed 7 per cent.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.44  Standard	<p><b>Helicopter stands</b></p> <p><i>Note.— The provisions of this section do not specify the location for helicopter stands but allow a high degree of flexibility in the overall design of the heliport. However, it is not considered good practice to locate helicopter stands under a flight path. See the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261) for further guidance.</i></p> <p>3.1.44 A helicopter stand shall:</p> <p>a) provide:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) an area free of obstacles and of sufficient size and shape to ensure containment of every part of the largest helicopter the stand is intended to serve when it is being positioned within the stand;</li> <li>2) a surface which:                             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) is resistant to the effects of rotor downwash;</li> <li>ii) is free of irregularities that would adversely affect the manoeuvring of helicopters;</li> <li>iii) has bearing strength capable of withstanding the intended loads;</li> <li>iv) has sufficient friction to avoid skidding of helicopters or slipping of persons; and</li> <li>v) ensures effective drainage while having no adverse effect on the control or stability of a wheeled helicopter when being manoeuvred under its own power, or when</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
	stationary;  and  b) be associated with a protection area.				
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.45  Standard	3.1.45 The minimum dimensions of a helicopter stand shall be:  a) a circle of diameter of 1.2 D of the largest helicopter the stand is intended to serve; or  b) when there is a limitation on manoeuvring and positioning, of sufficient width to meet the requirement of 3.1.44 a) 1) but not less 1.2 times overall width of largest helicopter the stand is intended to serve.  <i>Note 1.— For a helicopter stand intended to be used for taxi-through only, a width less than 1.2 D but which provides containment and still permits all required functions of a stand to be performed, might be used (in accordance with 3.1.44 a) 1)).</i>  <i>Note 2.— For a helicopter stand intended to be used for turning on the ground, the minimum dimensions may be influenced by the turning circle data provided by the manufacturer and are likely to exceed 1.2 D. See the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261) for further guidance.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.46  Recommendation	3.1.46 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The mean slope of a helicopter stand in any direction should not exceed 2 per cent.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Aprons are not specifically provided for.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.47  Standard	3.1.47 Each helicopter stand shall be provided with positioning markings to clearly indicate where the helicopter is to be positioned and, by their form, any limitations on manoeuvring.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.48  Standard	3.1.48 A stand shall be surrounded by a protection area which need not be solid.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.49  Standard	<b><i>Protection areas</i></b>  3.1.49 A protection area shall provide:  a) an area free of obstacles, except for essential objects which because of their function are located on it; and  b) when solid, a surface which is contiguous and flush with the stand, is resistant to the effects of rotor downwash and ensures effective drainage.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.50  Standard	3.1.50 When associated with a stand designed for turning, the protection area shall extend outwards from the periphery of the stand for a distance of 0.4 D (see Figure 3.5).	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.51  Standard	3.1.51 When associated with a stand designed for taxi-through, the minimum width of the stand and protection area shall not be less than the width of the associated taxi-route (see Figures 3.6 and 3.7).	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.52  Standard	3.1.52 When associated with a stand designed for non-simultaneous use (see Figures 3.8 and 3.9):  a) the protection area of adjacent stands may overlap but shall not be less than the required protection area for the larger of the adjacent stands; and  b) the adjacent non-active stand may contain a static object but it shall be wholly within the boundary of the stand.  <i>Note.— To ensure that only one of the adjacent stands is active at a time, instruction to pilots in the AIP make clear that a limitation on the use of the stands is in force.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.53  Standard	3.1.53 No mobile object shall be permitted in a protection area during helicopter operations.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.54  Standard	<p>3.1.54 Essential objects located in the protection area shall not:</p> <p>a) if located at a distance of less than 0.75 D from the centre of the helicopter stand, penetrate a surface at a height of 5 cm above the surface of the central zone; and</p> <p>b) if located at a distance of 0.75 D or more from the centre of the helicopter stand, penetrate a surface at a height of 25 cm above the plane of the central zone and sloping upwards and outwards at a gradient of 5 per cent.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.55  Recommendation	<p>3.1.55 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>When solid, the slope of a protection area should not exceed an upward slope of 4 per cent outwards from the edge of the stand.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.56  Standard	<p><b>Location of a FATO in relation to a runway or taxiway</b></p> <p>3.1.56 Where a FATO is located near a runway or taxiway, and where simultaneous operations are planned, the separation distance between the edge of a runway or taxiway and the edge of a FATO shall not be less than the appropriate dimension in Table 3-1.</p>	AC139-8, 3.1.25.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.1.57  Recommendation	<p>3.1.57 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>A FATO should not be located:</i></p> <p><i>a) near taxiway intersections or holding points where jet engine efflux is likely to cause high turbulence; or</i></p> <p><i>b) near areas where aeroplane vortex wake generation is likely to exist.</i></p> <p><b>Table 3-1. FATO minimum separation distance for simultaneous operations</b></p>	AC139-8, 3.1.26.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.1  Standard</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>3.2 Helidecks</b></p> <p><i>Note.— The following specifications are for helidecks located on structures engaged in such activities as mineral exploitation, research or construction. See 3.4 for shipboard heliport provisions.</i></p> <p><b>FATOs and TLOFs</b></p> <p><i>Note 1.— For helidecks that have a 1 D or larger FATO it is presumed that the FATO and the TLOF will always occupy the same space and have the same load bearing characteristics so as to be coincidental. For helidecks that are less than 1 D, the reduction in size is only applied to the TLOF which is a load bearing area. In this case, the FATO remains at 1 D but the portion extending beyond the TLOF perimeter need not be load bearing for helicopters. The TLOF and the FATO may be assumed to be collocated.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— Guidance on the effects of airflow direction and turbulence, prevailing wind velocity and high temperatures from gas turbine exhausts or flare-radiated heat on the location of the FATO is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><i>Note 3.— Guidance on the design and markings for helideck parking areas is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p>3.2.1 The specifications in paragraphs 3.3.14 and 3.3.15 shall be applicable for helidecks completed on or after 1 January 2012.</p>	<p>AC139-8, Ch 3.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.2  Standard	3.2.2 A helideck shall be provided with one FATO and one coincident or collocated TLOF.	AC139-8, 3.3.1.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.3  Standard	3.2.3 A FATO may be any shape but shall be of sufficient size to contain an area within which can be accommodated a circle of diameter of not less than 1 D of the largest helicopter the helideck is intended to serve.	AC139-8, 3.3.2.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.4  Standard	3.2.4 A TLOF may be any shape but shall be of sufficient size to contain:  a) for helicopters with an MTOM of more than 3 175 kg, an area within which can be accommodated a circle of diameter not less than 1 D of the largest helicopter the helideck is intended to serve; and  b) for helicopters with an MTOM of 3 175 kg or less, an area within which can be accommodated a circle of diameter not less than 0.83 D of the largest helicopter the helideck is intended to serve.	AC139-8, 3.3.2.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Weight breaks are not specified. 1.0 D applies equally.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.5  Recommendation	3.2.5 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>For helicopters with a MTOM of 3 175 kg or less, the TLOF should be of sufficient size to contain an area within which can be accommodated a circle of diameter of not less than 1 D of the largest helicopter the helideck is intended to serve.</i>	AC139-8, 3.3.2.	No Difference		Note: weight break not specified.





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.6  Standard	<p>3.2.6 A helideck shall be arranged to ensure that a sufficient and unobstructed air-gap is provided which encompasses the full dimensions of the FATO.</p> <p><i>Note.— Specific guidance on the characteristics of an air-gap is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261). As a general rule, except for shallow superstructures of three stories or less, a sufficient air-gap will be at least 3 m.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.7  Recommendation	<p>3.2.7 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The FATO should be located so as to avoid, as far as is practicable, the influence of environmental effects, including turbulence, over the FATO, which could have an adverse impact on helicopter operations.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.8  Standard	<p>3.2.8 The TLOF shall be dynamic load-bearing.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.9  Standard	<p>3.2.9 The TLOF shall provide ground effect.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.10  Standard	3.2.10 No fixed object shall be permitted around the edge of the TLOF except for frangible objects, which, because of their function, must be located thereon.	AC139-8, 3.3.4.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.11  Standard	3.2.11 For any TLOF 1 D or greater and any TLOF designed for use by helicopters having a D-value of greater than 16.0 m, objects installed in the obstacle-free sector whose function requires them to be located on the edge of the TLOF shall not exceed a height of 25 cm.	AC139-8, 3.3.5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	No provision for diameter less than 1 D.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.12  Recommendation	3.2.12 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>For any TLOF 1 D or greater and any TLOF designed for use by helicopters having a D-value of greater than 16.0 m, objects installed in the obstacle-free sector whose function requires them to be located on the edge of the TLOF should be as low as possible and in any case not exceed a height of 15 cm.</i>	AC139-8.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.13  Standard	3.2.13 For any TLOF designed for use by helicopters having a D-value of 16.0 m or less, and any TLOF having dimensions of less than 1 D, objects installed in the obstacle-free sector whose function requires them to be located on the edge of the TLOF, shall not exceed a height of 5 cm.  <i>Note.— Lighting that is mounted at a height of less than 25 cm is typically assessed for adequacy of visual cues before and after installation.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.14  Standard	<p>3.2.14 Objects whose function requires them to be located within the TLOF (such as lighting or nets) shall not exceed a height of 2.5 cm. Such objects shall only be present if they do not represent a hazard to helicopters.</p> <p><i>Note.— Examples of potential hazards include nets or raised fittings on the deck that might induce dynamic rollover for helicopters equipped with skids.</i></p>	AC139-8, 3.3.5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The 2.5 cm height is not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.15  Standard	<p>3.2.15 Safety devices such as safety nets or safety shelves shall be located around the edge of a helideck but shall not exceed the height of the TLOF.</p>	AC139-8, 3.2.9.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.2.16  Standard	<p>3.2.16 The surface of the TLOF shall be skid-resistant to both helicopters and persons and be sloped to prevent pooling of water.</p> <p><i>Note.— Guidance on rendering the surface of the TLOF skid-resistant is contained in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	AC139-8, 3.2.10.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>3.3 Shipboard heliports</b></p> <p>3.3.1 The specifications in paragraphs 3.3.16 and 3.3.17 shall be applicable to shipboard heliports completed on or after 1 January 2012 and 1 January 2015, respectively.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.2  Standard	3.3.2 When helicopter operating areas are provided in the bow or stern of a ship or are purpose-built above the ship's structure, they shall be regarded as purpose-built shipboard heliports.	AC139-8, 3.4.1.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.3  Standard	<b>FATOs and TLOFs</b>  <i>Note.— Except for the arrangement described in 3.4.8 b), for shipboard heliports it is presumed that the FATO and the TLOF will be coincidental. Guidance on the effects of airflow direction and turbulence, prevailing wind velocity and high temperature from gas turbine exhausts or flare-radiated heat on the location of the FATO is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i>  3.3.3 A shipboard heliport shall be provided with one FATO and one coincidental or collocated TLOF.	AC139-8, 3.4.2.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.4  Standard	3.3.4 A FATO may be any shape but shall be of sufficient size to contain an area within which can be accommodated a circle of diameter of not less than 1 D of the largest helicopter the heliport is intended to serve.	AC139-8, 3.4.3.	More Exacting or Exceeds	Circular, rather than any shape.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.5  Standard	3.3.5 The TLOF of a shipboard heliport shall be dynamic load-bearing.	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.6  Standard	3.3.6 The TLOF of a shipboard heliport shall provide ground effect.	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.7  Standard	3.3.7 For purpose-built shipboard heliports provided in a location other than the bow or stern, the TLOF shall be of sufficient size to contain a circle with a diameter not less than 1 D of the largest helicopter the heliport is intended to serve.	AC139-8, 3.4.3.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.8  Standard	<p>3.3.8 For purpose-built shipboard heliports provided in the bow or stern of a ship, the TLOF shall be of sufficient size to:</p> <p>a) contain a circle with a diameter not less than 1 D of the largest helicopter the heliport is intended to serve; or</p> <p>b) for operations with limited touchdown directions, contain an area within which can be accommodated two opposing arcs of a circle with a diameter of not less than 1 D in the helicopter's longitudinal direction. The minimum width of the heliport shall be not less than 0.83 D. (See Figure 3-10.)</p> <p><i>Note 1.— The ship will need to be manoeuvred to ensure that the relative wind is appropriate to the direction of the helicopter touchdown heading.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— The touchdown heading of the helicopter is limited to the angular distance subtended by the 1 D arc headings, minus the angular distance which corresponds to 15 degrees at each end of the arc.</i></p>	AC139-8, 3.4.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Item b) is not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.9  Standard	<p>3.3.9 For non-purpose-built shipboard heliports, the TLOF shall be of sufficient size to contain a circle with a diameter not less than 1 D of the largest helicopter the heliport is intended to serve.</p>	AC139-8, 3.4.3.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.10  Standard	<p>3.3.10 A shipboard heliport shall be arranged to ensure that a sufficient and unobstructed air-gap is provided which encompasses the full dimensions of the FATO.</p> <p><i>Note.— Specific guidance on the characteristics of an air-gap is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261). As a general rule, except for shallow superstructures of three stories or less, a sufficient air-gap will be at least 3 m.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.11  Recommendation	<p>3.3.11 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The FATO should be located so as to avoid, as far as is practicable, the influence of environmental effects, including turbulence, over the FATO, which could have an adverse impact on helicopter operations.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.12  Standard	<p>3.3.12 No fixed object shall be permitted around the edge of the TLOF except for frangible objects, which, because of their function, must be located thereon.</p>	AC139-8, 3.4.3.	No Difference		
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.13  Standard	<p>3.3.13 For any TLOF 1D or greater and any TLOF designed for use by helicopters having a D-value of greater than 16.0 m, objects installed in the obstacle-free sector whose function requires them to be located on the edge of the TLOF shall not exceed a height of 25 cm.</p>	AC139-8, 3.3.5.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.14  Recommendation	3.3.14 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>For any TLOF 1 D or greater and any TLOF designed for use by helicopters having a D-value of greater than 16.0 m, objects installed in the obstacle-free sector whose function requires them to be located on the edge of the TLOF should be as low as possible and in any case not exceed a height of 15 cm.</i>	AC139-8, 3.3.5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.15  Standard	3.3.15 For any TLOF designed for use by helicopters having a D-value of 16.0 m or less, and any TLOF having dimensions of less than 1 D, objects in the obstacle-free sector, whose function requires them to be located on the edge of the TLOF, shall not exceed a height of 5 cm.  <i>Note.— Lighting that is mounted at a height of less than 25 cm is typically assessed for adequacy of visual cues before and after installation.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.16  Standard	3.3.16 Objects whose function requires them to be located within the TLOF (such as lighting or nets) shall not exceed a height of 2.5 cm. Such objects shall only be present if they do not represent a hazard to helicopters.	AC139-8, 3.3.5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The 2.5 cm height is not specified.	
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.17  Standard	3.3.17 Safety devices such as safety nets or safety shelves shall be located around the edge of a shipboard heliport, except where structural protection exists, but shall not exceed the height of the TLOF.	AC139-8, Ch 3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 3 Reference 3.3.18  Standard	3.3.18 The surface of the TLOF shall be skid-resistant to both helicopters and persons.	AC139-8, 3.4.4.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.1</p> <p>Standard</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CHAPTER 4. OBSTACLE ENVIRONMENT</b></p> <p><i>Note.— The objectives of the specifications in this chapter are to describe the airspace around heliports so as to permit intended helicopter operations to be conducted safely and to prevent, where appropriate State controls exist, heliports from becoming unusable by the growth of obstacles around them. This is achieved by establishing a series of obstacle limitation surfaces that define the limits to which objects may project into the airspace.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>4.1 Obstacle limitation surfaces and sectors</b></p> <p><i>Approach surface</i></p> <p>4.1.1 <i>Description.</i> An inclined plane or a combination of planes or, when a turn is involved, a complex surface sloping upwards from the end of the safety area and centred on a line passing through the centre of the FATO.</p> <p><i>Note.— See Table 4-1 for dimensions and slopes of surfaces. See Figures 4-1, 4-2, 4-3 and 4-4 for depiction of surfaces.</i></p>	<p>AC139-8, Ch 4.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not described in these terms.</p>	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.2  Standard	<p>4.1.2 <i>Characteristics.</i> The limits of an approach surface shall comprise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) an inner edge horizontal and equal in length to the minimum specified width/diameter of the FATO plus the safety area, perpendicular to the centre line of the approach surface and located at the outer edge of the safety area;</li> <li>b) two side edges originating at the ends of the inner edge diverging uniformly at a specified rate from the vertical plane containing the centre line of the FATO; and:</li> <li>c) an outer edge horizontal and perpendicular to the centre line of the approach surface and at a specified height of 152 m (500 ft) above the elevation of the FATO.</li> </ul>	AC139-8, 4.1.2.	No Difference		
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.3  Standard	<p>4.1.3 The elevation of the inner edge shall be the elevation of the FATO at the point on the inner edge that is intersected by the centre line of the approach surface. For heliports intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 1 and when approved by an appropriate authority, the origin of the inclined plane may be raised directly above the FATO.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.4  Standard	<p>4.1.4 The slope(s) of the approach surface shall be measured in the vertical plane containing the centre line of the surface.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.5  Standard	4.1.5 In the case of an approach surface involving a turn, the surface shall be a complex surface containing the horizontal normals to its centre line and the slope of the centre line shall be the same as that for a straight approach surface.  <i>Note.— See Figure 4-5.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.6  Standard	4.1.6 In the case of an approach surface involving a turn, the surface shall not contain more than one curved portion.	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.7  Standard	4.1.7 Where a curved portion of an approach surface is provided, the sum of the radius of arc defining the centre line of the approach surface and the length of the straight portion originating at the inner edge shall not be less than 575 m.	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.8  Standard	4.1.8 Any variation in the direction of the centre line of an approach surface shall be designed so as not to necessitate a turn radius less than 270 m.  <i>Note.— For heliports intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 2 or 3, it is good practice for the approach paths to be selected so as to permit safe forced landings or one-engine-inoperative landings such that, as a minimum requirement, injury to persons on the ground or water or damage to property are minimized. The most critical helicopter type for which the heliport is intended and the ambient conditions may be factors in determining the suitability of such areas.</i>	AC139-8, 4.1.2(g)(iii).	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.9  Standard	<p><b>Transitional surface</b></p> <p><i>Note.— For a FATO at a heliport without a PinS approach incorporating a visual segment surface (VSS) there is no requirement to provide transitional surfaces.</i></p> <p>4.1.9 <i>Description.</i> A complex surface along the side of the safety area and part of the side of the approach/take-off climb surface, that slopes upwards and outwards to a predetermined height of 45 m (150 ft).</p> <p><i>Note.— See Figure 4-3. See Table 4-1 for dimensions and slopes of surfaces.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.10  Standard	<p>4.1.10 <i>Characteristics.</i> The limits of a transitional surface shall comprise:</p> <p>a) a lower edge beginning at a point on the side of the approach/take-off climb surface at a specified height above the lower edge extending down the side of the approach/take-off climb surface to the inner edge of the approach/take-off climb surface and from there along the length of the side of the safety area parallel to the centre line of the FATO; and</p> <p>b) an upper edge located at a specified height above the lower edge as set out in Table 4-1.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.11  Standard	<p>4.1.11 The elevation of a point on the lower edge shall be:</p> <p>a) along the side of the approach/take-off climb surface — equal to the elevation of the approach/take-off climb surface at that point; and</p> <p>b) along the safety area — equal to the elevation of the inner edge of the approach/take-off climb surface.</p> <p><i>Note 1.— If the origin of the inclined plane of the approach/take-off climb surface is raised as approved by an appropriate authority, the elevation of the origin of the transitional surface will be raised accordingly.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— As a result of b), the transitional surface along the safety area will be curved if the profile of the FATO is curved, or a plane if the profile is a straight line.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.12  Standard	<p>4.1.12 The slope of the transitional surface shall be measured in a vertical plane at right angles to the centre line of the FATO.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.13  Standard	<p><b>Take-off climb surface</b></p> <p>4.1.13 <i>Description.</i> An inclined plane, a combination of planes or, when a turn is involved, a complex surface sloping upwards from the end of the safety area and centred on a line passing through the centre of the FATO.</p> <p><i>Note.— See Table 4-1 for dimensions and slopes of surfaces. See Figures 4-1, 4-2, 4-3 and 4-4 for depiction of surfaces.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specifically defined.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.14  Standard	<p>4.1.14 <i>Characteristics.</i> The limits of a take-off climb surface shall comprise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) an inner edge horizontal and equal in length to the minimum specified width/diameter of the FATO plus the safety area, perpendicular to the centre line of the take-off climb surface and located at the outer edge of the safety area;</li> <li>b) two side edges originating at the ends of the inner edge and diverging uniformly at a specified rate from the vertical plane containing the centre line of the FATO; and</li> <li>c) an outer edge horizontal and perpendicular to the centre line of the take-off climb surface and at a specified height of 152 m (500 ft) above the elevation of the FATO.</li> </ul>	AC139-8, 4.1.2.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The centre line perpendicular requirement in a) is not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.15  Standard	<p>4.1.15 The elevation of the inner edge shall be the elevation of the FATO at the point on the inner edge that is intersected by the centre line of the take-off climb surface. For heliports intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 1 and when approved by an appropriate authority, the origin of the inclined plane may be raised directly above the FATO.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.16  Standard	<p>4.1.16 Where a clearway is provided, the elevation of the inner edge of the take-off climb surface shall be located at the outer edge of the clearway at the highest point on the ground based on the centre line of the clearway.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.17  Standard	4.1.17 In the case of a straight take-off climb surface, the slope shall be measured in the vertical plane containing the centre line of the surface.	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.18  Standard	4.1.18 In the case of a take-off climb surface involving a turn, the surface shall be a complex surface containing the horizontal normals to its centre line and the slope of the centre line shall be the same as that for a straight take-off climb surface.  <i>Note.— See Figure 4-5.</i>	AC139-8, 4.1.2.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Only the 30 m requirement is specified (in feet).	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.19  Standard	4.1.19 In the case of a take-off climb surface involving a turn, the surface shall not contain more than one curved portion.	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.20  Standard	4.1.20 Where a curved portion of a take-off climb surface, is provided, the sum of the radius of arc defining the centre line of the take-off climb surface and the length of the straight portion originating at the inner edge shall not be less than 575 m.	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.21  Standard	<p>4.1.21 Any variation in the direction of the centre line of a take-off climb surface shall be designed so as not to necessitate a turn of radius less than 270 m.</p> <p><i>Note 1.— Helicopter take-off performance is reduced in a curve and as such a straight portion along the take-off climb surface prior to the start of the curve allows for acceleration.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— For heliports intended to be used by helicopters operated in performance class 2 or 3, it is good practice for the departure paths to be selected so as to permit safe forced landings or one-engine-inoperative landings such that, as a minimum requirement, injury to persons on the ground or water or damage to property are minimized. The most critical helicopter type for which the heliport is intended and the ambient conditions may be factors in determining the suitability of such areas.</i></p>	AC139-8, 4.1.2.	No Difference		
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.22  Standard	<p><b>Obstacle-free sector/surface — helidecks</b></p> <p>4.1.22 <i>Description.</i> A complex surface originating at and extending from a reference point on the edge of the FATO of a helideck. In the case of a TLOF of less than 1 D, the reference point shall be located not less than 0.5 D from the centre of the TLOF.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not defined.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.23  Standard	<p>4.1.23 <i>Characteristics.</i> An obstacle-free sector/surface shall subtend an arc of specified angle.</p>	AC139-8. 4.1.5.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.24  Standard</p>	<p>4.1.24 A helideck obstacle-free sector shall comprise of two components, one above and one below helideck level:</p> <p><i>Note.— See Figure 4-7.</i></p> <p>a) <i>Above helideck level.</i> The surface shall be a horizontal plane level with the elevation of the helideck surface that subtends an arc of at least 210 degrees with the apex located on the periphery of the D circle extending outwards to a distance that will allow for an unobstructed departure path appropriate to the helicopter the helideck is intended to serve.</p> <p>b) <i>Below helideck level.</i> Within the (minimum) 210-degree arc, the surface shall additionally extend downward from the edge of the FATO below the elevation of the helideck to water level for an arc of not less than 180 degrees that passes through the centre of the FATO and outwards to a distance that will allow for safe clearance from the obstacles below the helideck in the event of an engine failure for the type of helicopter the helideck is intended to serve.</p> <p><i>Note.— For both the above obstacle-free sectors for helicopters operated in performance class 1 or 2, the horizontal extent of these distances from the helideck will be compatible with the one-engine-inoperative capability of the helicopter type to be used.</i></p>	<p>AC139-8, Ch 4.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.25  Standard	<p><b>Limited obstacle sector/surface — helidecks</b></p> <p><i>Note.— Where obstacles are necessarily located on the structure, a helideck may have a limited obstacle sector (LOS).</i></p> <p>4.1.25 <i>Description.</i> A complex surface originating at the reference point for the obstacle-free sector and extending over the arc not covered by the obstacle-free sector within which the height of obstacles above the level of the TLOF will be prescribed.</p>	AC139-8, 4.1.6.	Different in character or other means of compliance	The limited obstacle sector should extend outwards from that part of the FATO periphery that is not contained within the approach and take-off climb sector, for a distance of not less than one third the overall helicopter length. Within this sector no obstruction should be permitted above a 1:2 gradient.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.1.26  Standard	4.1.26 <i>Characteristics.</i> A limited obstacle sector shall not subtend an arc greater than 150 degrees. Its dimensions and location shall be as indicated in Figure 4-8 for a 1 D FATO with coincidental TLOF and Figure 4-9 for a 0.83 D TLOF.	AC139-8, 4.1.5.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>4.2 Obstacle limitation requirements</b></p> <p><i>Note 1.— The requirements for obstacle limitation surfaces are specified on the basis of the intended use of a FATO, i.e. approach manoeuvre to hover or landing, or take-off manoeuvre and type of approach, and are intended to be applied when such use is made of the FATO. In cases where operations are conducted to or from both directions of a FATO, then the function of certain surfaces may be nullified because of more stringent requirements of another lower surface.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— Guidance on obstacle protection surfaces, for when a visual approach slope indicator (VASI) is installed, is given in the onshore section of the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><b>Surface-level heliports</b></p> <p>4.2.1 The following obstacle limitation surfaces shall be established for a FATO at heliports with a PinS approach procedure utilizing a visual segment surface:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) take-off climb surface;</li> <li>b) approach surface; and</li> <li>c) transitional surfaces.</li> </ul> <p><i>Note 1.— See Figure 4-3.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— The Procedures for Air Navigation Services — Aircraft Operations, (PANS-OPS, Doc 8168), Volume II, Part IV details procedure design criteria.</i></p>		Not Applicable		No precision-approach FATO in New Zealand.



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.2  Standard	<p>4.2.2 The following obstacle limitation surfaces shall be established for a FATO at heliports, other than specified in 4.2.1, including heliports with a PinS approach procedure where a visual segment surface is not provided:</p> <p>a) take-off climb surface; and</p> <p>b) approach surface.</p>	AC139-8, 4.1.1.	No Difference		
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.3  Standard	<p>4.2.3 The slopes of the obstacle limitation surfaces shall not be greater than, and their other dimensions not less than, those specified in Table 4-1 and shall be located as shown in Figures 4-1, 4-2 and 4-6.</p>	AC139-8, 4.1.1.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.4  Standard	<p>4.2.4 For heliports that have an approach/take-off climb surface with a 4.5 per cent slope design, objects shall be permitted to penetrate the obstacle limitation surface if the results of an aeronautical study approved by an appropriate authority have reviewed the associated risks and mitigation measures.</p> <p><i>Note 1.— The identified objects may limit the heliport operation.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— Annex 6, Part 3, provides procedures that may be useful in determining the extent of obstacle penetration.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.5  Standard	<p>4.2.5 New objects or extensions of existing objects shall not be permitted above any of the surfaces in 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 except when shielded by an existing immovable object or after an aeronautical study approved by an appropriate authority determines that the object will not adversely affect the safety or significantly affect the regularity of operations of helicopters.</p> <p><i>Note.— Circumstances in which the shielding principle may reasonably be applied are described in the Airport Services Manual (Doc 9137), Part 6.</i></p>	AC139-8, 4.1.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.6  Recommendation	<p>4.2.6 <b>Recommendation.</b>— Existing objects above any of the surfaces in 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 should, as far as practicable, be removed except when the object is shielded by an existing immovable object or after an aeronautical study approved by an appropriate authority determines that the object will not adversely affect the safety or significantly affect the regularity of operations of helicopters.</p> <p><i>Note.— The application of curved approach or take-off climb surfaces as specified in 4.1.5 or 4.1.18 may alleviate the problems created by objects infringing these surfaces.</i></p>	AC139-8, 4.1.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.7  Standard	4.2.7 A surface-level heliport shall have at least one approach and take-off climb surface. An aeronautical study shall be undertaken by an appropriate authority when only a single approach and take-off climb surface is provided considering as a minimum, the following factors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the area/terrain over which the flight is being conducted;</li> <li>b) the obstacle environment surrounding the heliport and the availability of at least one protected side slope;</li> <li>c) the performance and operating limitations of helicopters intending to use the heliport; and</li> <li>d) the local meteorological conditions including the prevailing winds.</li> </ul>	AC139-8, 4.1.1.	Different in character or other means of compliance	Reference specifies sufficient approach and take-off climb surfaces to ensure that a helicopter can conduct a landing or take-off in the existing wind conditions.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.8</p> <p>Recommendation</p>	<p>4.2.8 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>A surface-level heliport should have at least two approach and take-off climb surfaces to avoid downwind conditions, minimize crosswind conditions and permit for a balked landing.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>See the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261) for guidance.</i></p> <p><b>Table 4-1. Dimensions and slopes of obstacle limitation surfaces for all visual FATOs</b></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>The slope design categories in Table 4-1 may not be restricted to a specific performance class of operation and may be applicable to more than one performance class of operation. The slope design categories depicted in Table 4-1 represent minimum design slope angles and not operational slopes. Slope category “A” generally corresponds with helicopters operated in performance class 1; slope category “B” generally corresponds with helicopters operated in performance class 3; and slope category “C” generally corresponds with helicopters operated in performance class 2. Consultation with helicopter operators will help to determine the appropriate slope category to apply according to the heliport environment and the most critical helicopter type for which the heliport is intended.</i></p>	<p>AC139-8, 4.1.1.</p>	<p>Different in character or other means of compliance</p>	<p>Reference specifies sufficient approach and take-off climb surfaces to ensure that a helicopter can conduct a landing or take-off in the existing wind conditions.</p>	
<p>Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.9</p> <p>Standard</p>	<p><b><i>Elevated heliports</i></b></p> <p>4.2.9 The obstacle limitation surfaces for elevated heliports shall conform to the requirements for surface-level heliports specified in 4.2.1 to 4.2.6.</p>	<p>AC139-8, 4.1.2.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>No differentiation is applied for elevated heliports.</p>	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.10  Standard	<p>4.2.10 An elevated heliport shall have at least one approach and take-off climb surface. An aeronautical study shall be undertaken by an appropriate authority when only a single approach and take-off climb surface is provided considering as a minimum, the following factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the area/terrain over which the flight is being conducted;</li> <li>b) the obstacle environment surrounding the heliport and the availability of at least one protected side slope;</li> <li>c) the performance and operating limitations of helicopters intending to use the heliport; and</li> <li>d) the local meteorological conditions including the prevailing winds.</li> </ul>	AC139-8, 4.1.1.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The reference provides for a heliport to have sufficient approach and take-off climb surfaces to ensure that a helicopter can conduct a landing or take-off in the existing wind conditions.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.11  Recommendation	<p>4.2.11 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>An elevated heliport should have at least two approach and take-off climb surfaces to avoid downwind conditions, minimize crosswind conditions and permit for a balked landing.</i></p> <p><i>Note.— See the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261) for guidance.</i></p>	AC139-8, 4.1.1.	Different in character or other means of compliance	Reference specifies sufficient approach and take-off climb surfaces to ensure that a helicopter can conduct a landing or take-off in the existing wind conditions.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.12  Standard	<p><b>Helidecks</b></p> <p>4.2.12 A helideck shall have an obstacle-free sector.</p> <p><i>Note.— A helideck may have a LOS (see 4.1.26).</i></p>	AC139-8, 4.1.5.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.13  Standard	4.2.13 There shall be no fixed obstacles within the obstacle-free sector above the obstacle-free surface.	AC139-8, 4.1.5.	No Difference		
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.14  Standard	<p>4.2.14 In the immediate vicinity of the helideck, obstacle protection for helicopters shall be provided below the helideck level. This protection shall extend over an arc of at least 180 degrees with the origin at the centre of the FATO, with a descending gradient having a ratio of one unit horizontally to five units vertically from the edges of the FATO within the 180-degree sector. This descending gradient may be reduced to a ratio of one unit horizontally to three units vertically within the 180-degree sector for multi-engine helicopters operated in performance class 1 or 2. (See Figure 4 -7.)</p> <p><i>Note.— Where there is a requirement to position, at sea surface level, one or more offshore support vessel(s) (e.g. a Standby Vessel) essential to the operation of a fixed or floating offshore facility, but located within the proximity of the fixed or floating offshore facility, any offshore support vessel(s) would need to be positioned so as not to compromise the safety of helicopter operations during take-off departure and/or approach to landing.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.15  Standard	<p>4.2.15 For a TLOF of 1 D and larger, within the 150-degree limited obstacle surface/sector out to a distance of 0.12 D measured from the point of origin of the LOS, objects shall not exceed a height of 25 cm above the TLOF. Beyond that arc, out to an overall distance of a further 0.21 D measured from the end of the first sector, the limited obstacle surface rises at a rate of one unit vertically for each two units horizontally originating at a height 0.05 D above the level of the TLOF. (See Figure 4-8.)</p> <p><i>Note.— Where the area enclosed by the TLOF perimeter marking is a shape other than circular, the extent of the LOS segments are represented as lines parallel to the perimeter of the TLOF rather than arcs. Figure 4-8 has been constructed on the assumption that an octagonal helideck arrangement is provided. Further guidance for square (quadrilateral) and circular FATO and TLOF arrangements is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	AC139-8, Figure 4-2.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.16  Standard	<p>4.2.16 For a TLOF less than 1 D within the 150-degree limited obstacle surface/sector out to a distance of 0.62 D and commencing from a distance 0.5 D, both measured from the centre of the TLOF, objects shall not exceed a height of 5 cm above the TLOF. Beyond that arc, out to an overall distance of 0.83 D from the centre of the TLOF, the limited obstacle surface rises at a rate of one unit vertically for each two units horizontally originating at a height 0.05 D above the level of the TLOF. (See Figure 4-9.)</p> <p><i>Note.— Where the area enclosed by the TLOF perimeter marking is a shape other than circular, the extent of the LOS segments are represented as lines parallel to the perimeter of the TLOF rather than arcs. Figure 4-9 has been constructed on the assumption that an octagonal helideck arrangement is provided. Further guidance for square (quadrilateral) and circular FATO and TLOF arrangements is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.17  Standard	<p><b><i>Shipboard heliports</i></b></p> <p>4.2.17 The specifications in 4.2.20 and 4.2.22 shall be applicable for shipboard heliports completed on or after 1 January 2012.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.18  Standard	<p><b><i>Purpose-built heliports located forward or aft</i></b></p> <p>4.2.18 When helicopter operating areas are provided in the bow or stern of a ship they shall apply the obstacle criteria for helidecks.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.19  Standard	<p><b><i>Amidships location — Purpose-built and non-purpose-built</i></b></p> <p>4.2.19 Forward and aft of a TLOF of 1 D and larger shall be two symmetrically located sectors, each covering an arc of 150 degrees, with their apexes on the periphery of the TLOF. Within the area enclosed by these two sectors, there shall be no objects rising above the level of the TLOF, except those aids essential for the safe operation of a helicopter and then only up to a maximum height of 25 cm.</p>	AC139-8, Figure 4-2.	No Difference		
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.20  Standard	<p>4.2.20 Objects whose function requires them to be located within the TLOF (such as lighting or nets) shall not exceed a height of 2.5 cm. Such objects shall only be present if they do not represent a hazard to helicopters.</p> <p><i>Note.— Examples of potential hazards include nets or raised fittings on the deck that might induce dynamic rollover for helicopters equipped with skids.</i></p>	AC139-8, 3.3.5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The 2.5 cm height is not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.21  Standard	<p>4.2.21 To provide further protection from obstacles fore and aft of the TLOF, rising surfaces with gradients of one unit vertically to five units horizontally shall extend from the entire length of the edges of the two 150-degree sectors. These surfaces shall extend for a horizontal distance equal to at least 1 D of the largest helicopter the TLOF is intended to serve and shall not be penetrated by any obstacle. (See Figure 4-10.)</p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.22  Standard	<p><b><i>Non-purpose-built heliports — Ship's side location</i></b></p> <p>4.2.22 No objects shall be located within the TLOF except those aids essential for the safe operation of a helicopter (such as nets or lighting) and then only up to a maximum height of 2.5 cm. Such objects shall only be present if they do not represent a hazard to helicopters.</p>	AC139-8, 3.3.5	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.23  Standard	4.2.23 From the fore and aft mid-points of the D circle in two segments outside the circle, limited obstacle areas shall extend to the ship's rail to a fore and aft distance of 1.5 times the fore-to-aft-dimension of the TLOF, located symmetrically about the athwartships bisector of the D circle. Within these areas there shall be no objects rising above a maximum height of 25 cm above the level of the TLOF. (See Figure 4-11.) Such objects shall only be present if they do not represent a hazard to helicopters.	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.24  Standard	4.2.24 A LOS horizontal surface shall be provided, at least 0.25 D beyond the diameter of the D circle, which shall surround the inboard sides of the TLOF to the fore and aft mid-points of the D circle. The LOS shall continue to the ship's rail to a fore and aft distance of 2.0 times the fore-to-aft dimension of the TLOF, located symmetrically about the athwartships bisector of the D circle. Within this sector there shall be no objects rising above a maximum height of 25 cm above the level of the TLOF.  <i>Note.— Any objects located within the areas described in 4.2.23 and 4.2.24 that exceed the height of the TLOF are notified to the helicopter operator using a ship's helicopter landing area plan. For notification purposes, it may be necessary to consider immovable objects beyond the limit of the surface prescribed in 4.2.24, particularly if objects are significantly higher than 25 cm and in close proximity to the boundary of the LOS. See the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261) for guidance.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.25  Standard	<b>Winching areas</b>  4.2.25 An area designated for winching on-board ships shall be comprised of a circular clear zone of diameter 5 m and, extending from the perimeter of the clear zone, a concentric manoeuvring zone of diameter 2 D. (See Figure 4-12.)	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.26  Standard	4.2.26 The manoeuvring zone shall be comprised of two areas:  a) the inner manoeuvring zone extending from the perimeter of the clear zone and of a circle of diameter not less than 1.5 D; and  b) the outer manoeuvring zone extending from the perimeter of the inner manoeuvring zone and of a circle of diameter not less than 2 D.S	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.27  Standard	4.2.27 Within the clear zone of a designated winching area, no objects shall be located above the level of its surface.	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.28  Standard	4.2.28 Objects located within the inner manoeuvring zone of a designated winching area shall not exceed a height of 3 m.	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 4 Reference 4.2.29  Standard	<p>4.2.29 Objects located within the outer manoeuvring zone of a designated winching area shall not exceed a height of 6 m.</p> <p><i>Note.— See the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261) for guidance.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.1.1.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CHAPTER 5. VISUAL AIDS</b></p> <p><i>Note 1.— The procedures used by some helicopters require that they utilize a FATO having characteristics similar in shape to a runway for fixed wing aircraft. For the purpose of this chapter, a FATO having characteristics similar in shape to a runway is considered as satisfying the concept for a “runway-type FATO”. For such arrangements it is sometimes necessary to provide specific markings to enable a pilot to distinguish a runway-type FATO during an approach. Appropriate markings are contained within sub-sections entitled “Runway-type FATOs”. The requirements applicable to all other types of FATOs are given within sub-sections entitled “All FATOs except runway-type FATOs”.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— It has been found that, on surfaces of light colour, the conspicuity of white and yellow markings can be improved by outlining them in black.</i></p> <p><i>Note 3.— Guidance is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261) on marking the maximum allowable mass (5.2.3) and the D-value (5.2.4) on the heliport surface to avoid confusion between markings where metric units are used and markings where imperial units are used.</i></p> <p><i>Note 4.— For a non-purpose-built heliport located on a ship’s side the surface colour of the main deck can vary from ship to ship and therefore some discretion may need to be exercised in the colour selection of heliport paint schemes, the objective being to ensure that the markings are conspicuous against the surface of the ship and the operating background.</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.1.1.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>5.1 Indicators</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">5.1.1 Wind direction indicators</p> <p><i>Application</i></p> <p>5.1.1.1 A heliport shall be equipped with at least one wind direction indicator.</p>				
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.1.1.2  Standard</p>	<p><i>Location</i></p> <p>5.1.1.2 A wind direction indicator shall be located so as to indicate the wind conditions over the FATO and TLOF and in such a way as to be free from the effects of airflow disturbances caused by nearby objects or rotor downwash. It shall be visible from a helicopter in flight, in a hover or on the movement area.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.1.1.3  Recommendation</p>	<p>5.1.1.3 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Where a TLOF and/or FATO may be subject to a disturbed airflow, additional wind direction indicators located close to the area should be provided to indicate the surface wind on the area.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>Guidance on the location of wind direction indicators is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.1.1.4  Standard</p>	<p><i>Characteristics</i></p> <p>5.1.1.4 A wind direction indicator shall be constructed so that it gives a clear indication of the direction of the wind and a general indication of the wind speed.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.1.1.5  Recommendation	5.1.1.5 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A wind direction indicator should be a truncated cone made of lightweight fabric and should have the following minimum dimensions:</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.1.1.6  Recommendation	5.1.1.6 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The colour of the wind direction indicator should be so selected as to make it clearly visible and understandable from a height of at least 200 m (650 ft) above the heliport, having regard to background. Where practicable, a single colour, preferably white or orange, should be used. Where a combination of two colours is required to give adequate conspicuity against changing backgrounds, they should preferably be orange and white, red and white, or black and white, and should be arranged in five alternate bands the first and last band being the darker colour.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.1.1.7  Standard	5.1.1.7 A wind direction indicator at a heliport intended for use at night shall be illuminated.	AC139-8, 5.3.1.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.1.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>5.2 Markings and markers</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Note.— See Annex 14, Volume 1, 5.2.1.4, Note 1, concerning improving conspicuity of markings.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">5.2.1 Winching area marking</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Note.— The objective of winching area markings is to provide to the pilot visual cues to assist a helicopter to be positioned over, and retained within, an area from which a passenger or equipment can be lowered or raised.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">5.2.1.1 Winching area markings shall be provided at a designated winching area. (See Figure 4-12.)</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.1.2  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">5.2.1.2 Winching area markings shall be located so that their centre(s) coincides with the centre of the clear zone of the winching area. (See Figure 4-12.)</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.1.3  Standard	<p><b>Characteristics</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">5.2.1.3 Winching area markings shall comprise a winching area clear zone marking and a winching area manoeuvring zone marking.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.1.4  Standard	5.2.1.4 A winching area clear zone marking shall consist of a solid circle of diameter not less than 5 m and of a conspicuous colour.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.1.5  Standard	5.2.1.5 A winching area manoeuvring zone marking shall consist of a broken circle line of 30 cm in width and of a diameter not less than 2 D and be marked in a conspicuous colour. Within it "WINCH ONLY" shall be marked to be easily visible to the pilot.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.2.1  Standard	5.2.2 Heliport identification marking  <i>Application</i>  5.2.2.1 A heliport identification marking shall be provided at a heliport.	AC139-8, 5.2.1.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.2.2</p> <p>Standard</p>	<p><b>Location — All FATOs except runway-type FATOs</b></p> <p>5.2.2.2 A heliport identification marking shall be located at or near the centre of the FATO.</p> <p><i>Note 1.— The objective of heliport identification marking is to provide to the pilot an indication of the presence of a heliport and, by its form, the likely usage; the preferred direction(s) of approach; or the FATO orientation within the helideck obstacle environment.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— For other than helidecks, the preferred direction(s) of approach corresponds to the median of the departure/arrival surface(s).</i></p> <p><i>Note 3.— For helidecks, the bar of the “H” points to the centre of the limited obstacle sector (LOS).</i></p> <p><i>Note 4.— If the touchdown/positioning marking (TDPM) is offset, the heliport identification marking is established in the centre of the TDPM.</i></p> <p><i>Note 5.— On a FATO which does not contain a TLOF and which is marked with an aiming point marking (see 5.2.7), the heliport identification marking is established in the centre of the aiming point marking as shown in Figures 5-1 and 5-2.</i></p>	<p>AC139-8, 5.2.2.</p>	<p>Different in character or other means of compliance</p>	<p>A heliport identification marking should be located within the aiming point marking, or within the TALO if no aiming point marking is provided.</p>	
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.2.3</p> <p>Standard</p>	<p>5.2.2.3 On a FATO which contains a TLOF, a heliport identification marking shall be located in the FATO so the position of it coincides with the centre of the TLOF.</p>	<p>AC139-8, Ch 5.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.2.4  Standard	<b>Location — Runway-type FATOs</b>  5.2.2.4 A heliport identification marking shall be located in the FATO and when used in conjunction with FATO designation markings, shall be displayed at each end of the FATO as shown in Figure 5-3.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.2.5  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.2.2.5 A heliport identification marking, except for a heliport at a hospital, shall consist of a letter H, in white. The dimensions of the H marking shall be no less than those shown in Figure 5-4 and where the marking is used for a runway-type FATO, its dimensions shall be increased by a factor of 3 as shown in Figure 5-3.	AC139-8, 5.2.3.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.2.6  Standard	5.2.2.6 A heliport identification marking for a heliport at a hospital shall consist of a letter H, red in colour, on a white cross made of squares adjacent to each of the sides of a square containing the H as shown in Figures 5-2 and 5-4.	AC139-8, 5.2.4 & Figure 5-1(a).	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.2.7  Standard	5.2.2.7 A heliport identification marking shall be oriented with the cross arm of the H at right angles to the preferred final approach direction. For a helideck, the cross arm shall be on or parallel to the bisector of the obstacle-free sector. For a non-purpose-built shipboard heliport located on a ship's side, the cross arm shall be parallel with the side of the ship.	AC139-8, 5.2.5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The helideck provision is not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.2.8  Recommendation	<p>5.2.2.8 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>On a helideck or a shipboard heliport where the D-value is 16.0 m or larger, the size of the heliport identification H marking should have a height of 4 m with an overall width not exceeding 3 m and a stroke width not exceeding 0.75 m. Where the D-value is less than 16.0 m, the size of the heliport identification H marking should have a height of 3 m with an overall width not exceeding 2.25 m and a stroke width not exceeding 0.5 m.</i></p> <p><b>Figure 5-1. Combined heliport identification, aiming point and FATO perimeter marking</b></p>	AC139-8, 5.2.5 note.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.3.1  Standard	<p>5.2.3 Maximum allowable mass marking</p> <p><i>Note 1.— The objective of the maximum allowable mass marking is to provide the mass limitation of the heliport such that it is visible to the pilot from the preferred final approach direction.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— Where States express the maximum allowable mass in pounds, it is not appropriate to suffix with the letter “t” which is used only to indicate metric tonnes. Guidance on markings where States use imperial units is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.2.3.1 A maximum allowable mass marking shall be displayed at an elevated heliport, a helideck and a shipboard heliport.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.6.	No Difference		





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.3.2  Recommendation	5.2.3.2 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A maximum allowable mass marking should be displayed at a surface-level heliport.</i>	AC139-8, 5.2.6.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Specified only for elevated heliports and helidecks.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.3.3  Recommendation	<b>Location</b>  5.2.3.3 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A maximum allowable mass marking should be located within the TLOF or FATO and so arranged as to be readable from the preferred final approach direction.</i>	AC139-8, 5.2.7.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.3.4  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.2.3.4 A maximum allowable mass marking shall consist of a one-, two- or three-digit number.	AC139-8, 5.2.8.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.3.5  Standard	5.2.3.5 The maximum allowable mass shall be expressed in tonnes (1 000 kg) rounded down to the nearest 1 000 kg followed by the letter “t”. Where States use mass in pounds, the maximum allowable mass marking shall indicate the allowable helicopter mass in thousands of pounds rounded down to the nearest 1 000 lbs.	AC139-8, 5.2.8.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.3.6  Recommendation	5.2.3.6 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The maximum allowable mass should be expressed to the nearest 100 kg. The marking should be presented to one decimal place and rounded to the nearest 100 kg followed by the letter “t”. Where States use mass in pounds, the maximum allowable mass marking should indicate the allowable helicopter mass in hundreds of pounds rounded to the nearest 100 lb.</i>	AC139-8, 5.2.8.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified to this level of detail.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.3.7  Recommendation	5.2.3.7 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>When the maximum allowable mass is expressed to 100 kg, the decimal place should be preceded with a decimal point marked with a 30 cm square.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.3.8  Recommendation	<i>All FATOs except runway-type FATOs</i>  5.2.3.8 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The numbers and the letter of the marking should have a colour contrasting with the background and should be in the form and proportion shown in Figure 5-5 for a D-value of more than 30 m. For a D-value between 15 m and 30 m, the height of the numbers and the letter of the marking should be a minimum of 90 cm, and for a D-value of less than 15 m, the height of the numbers and the letter of the marking should be a minimum of 60 cm, each with a proportional reduction in width and thickness.</i>	AC139-8, 5.2.9.	No Difference		Note: the limited-space case is not provided for.
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.3.9  Recommendation	<b>Runway-type FATOs</b>  5.2.3.9 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The numbers and the letter of the marking should have a colour contrasting with the background and should be in the form and proportion shown in Figure 5-5.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.4.1  Standard	<p>5.2.4 D-value marking</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of D-value marking is to provide to the pilot the “D” of the largest helicopter that can be accommodated on the heliport. This value may differ in size from the FATO and the TLOF provided in compliance with Chapter 3.</i></p> <p><b>Application — All FATOs except runway-type FATOs</b></p> <p>5.2.4.1 A D-value marking shall be displayed at a helideck and at a shipboard heliport.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.4.2  Standard	<p><b>Application — Runway-type FATOs</b></p> <p><i>Note.—The D-value is not required to be marked on a heliport with a runway-type FATO.</i></p> <p>5.2.4.2 A D-value marking shall be displayed at surface-level and elevated heliports.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.4.3  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.2.4.3 A D-value marking shall be located within the TLOF or FATO and so arranged as to be readable from the preferred final approach direction.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.4.4  Recommendation	5.2.4.4 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>Where there is more than one approach direction, additional D-value markings should be provided such that at least one D-value marking is readable from the final approach direction. For a non-purpose-built heliport located on a ship's side, D-value markings should be provided on the perimeter of the D circle at the 2 o'clock, 10 o'clock and 12 o'clock positions when viewed from the side of the ship facing towards the centre line.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.4.5  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.2.4.5 The D-value marking shall be white. The D-value marking shall be rounded to the nearest whole metre or foot with 0.5 rounded down.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.4.6  Recommendation	5.2.4.6 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The numbers of the marking should have a colour contrasting with the background and should be in the form and proportion shown in Figure 5-5 for a D-value of more than 30 m. For a D-value between 15 m and 30 m, the height of the numbers of the marking should be a minimum of 90 cm, and for a D-value of less than 15 m, the height of the numbers of the marking should be a minimum of 60 cm, each with a proportional reduction in width and thickness.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.1  Standard	<p>5.2.5 FATO perimeter marking or markers for surface-level heliports</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of FATO perimeter marking or markers is to provide to the pilot, where the perimeter of the FATO is not self-evident, an indication of the area that is free of obstacles and in which intended procedures or permitted manoeuvring may take place.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.2.5.1 FATO perimeter marking or markers shall be provided at a surface-level heliport where the extent of a FATO with a solid surface is not self-evident.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.10.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.2  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.2.5.2 The FATO perimeter marking or markers shall be located on the edge of the FATO.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.11.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.3  Standard	<p><b>Characteristics — Runway-type FATOs</b></p> <p>5.2.5.3 The perimeter of the FATO shall be defined with markings or markers spaced at equal intervals of not more than 50 m with at least three markings or markers on each side including a marking or marker at each corner.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.12.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The spacing is not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.4  Standard	5.2.5.4 A FATO perimeter marking shall be a rectangular stripe with a length of 9 m or one-fifth of the side of the FATO which it defines and a width of 1 m.	AC139-8, 5.2.12.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	A continuous white line 600 mm wide is specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.5  Standard	5.2.5.5 FATO perimeter markings shall be white.	AC139-8, 5.2.12.	Different in character or other means of compliance	The reference also provides for mowing, bare earth, or marker boards where the FATO is on grass.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.6  Standard	5.2.5.6 A FATO perimeter marker shall have dimensional characteristics as shown in Figure 5-6.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.7  Standard	5.2.5.7 FATO perimeter markers shall be of colour(s) that contrast effectively against the operating background.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.8  Recommendation	5.2.5.8 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>FATO perimeter markers should be a single colour, orange or red, or two contrasting colours, orange and white or, alternatively, red and white should be used except where such colours would merge with the background.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.9  Standard	<p><b>Characteristics — All FATOs except runway-type FATOs</b></p> <p>5.2.5.9 For an unpaved FATO the perimeter shall be defined with flush in-ground markers. The FATO perimeter markers shall be 30 cm in width, 1.5 m in length, and with end-to-end spacing of not less than 1.5 m and not more than 2 m. The corners of a square or rectangular FATO shall be defined.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.10  Standard	<p>5.2.5.10 For a paved FATO the perimeter shall be defined with a dashed line. The FATO perimeter marking segments shall be 30 cm in width, 1.5 m in length, and with end-to-end spacing of not less than 1.5 m and not more than 2 m. The corners of the square or rectangular FATO shall be defined.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.5.11  Standard	<p>5.2.5.11 FATO perimeter markings and flush in-ground markers shall be white.</p> <p><b>Figure 5-6. Runway-type FATO edge marker</b></p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.6.1  Recommendation	<p>5.2.6 FATO designation markings for runway-type FATOs</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of final FATO designation markings for runway-type FATOs is to provide to the pilot an indication of the magnetic heading of the runway.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.2.6.1 <b>Recommendation.—</b> A FATO designation marking should be provided at a heliport where it is necessary to designate the FATO to the pilot.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.12.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.6.2  Standard	<b>Location</b>  5.2.6.2 A FATO designation marking shall be located at the beginning of the FATO as shown in Figure 5-3.	AC139-8, 5.2.12.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.6.3  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.2.6.3 A FATO designation marking shall consist of a two-digit number. The two-digit number shall be the whole number nearest to one-tenth of the magnetic North when viewed from the direction of approach. When this rule would give a single digit number, it shall be preceded by a zero. The marking, as shown in Figure 5-3, shall be supplemented by the heliport identification marking.	AC139-8, 5.2.12.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.7.1  Recommendation	5.2.7 Aiming point marking  <i>Note.— The objective of the aiming point marking is to provide to the pilot a visual cue indicating the preferred approach/departure direction, the point to which the helicopter approaches to the hover before positioning to a stand where a touchdown can be made, and that the surface of the FATO is not intended for touchdown.</i>  <b>Application</b>  5.2.7.1 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>An aiming point marking should be provided at a heliport where it is necessary for a pilot to make an approach to a particular point above a FATO before proceeding to a TLOF.</i>	AC139-8, 5.2.13.	No Difference		





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.7.2  Standard	<b>Location — Runway-type FATOs</b>  5.2.7.2 The aiming point marking shall be located within the FATO.	AC139-8, 5.2.14.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.7.3  Standard	<b>Location — All FATOs except runway-type FATOs</b>  5.2.7.3 The aiming point marking shall be located at the centre of the FATO as shown in Figure 5-1.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	Note: 5.2.14 specifies "within the FATO".
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.7.4  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.2.7.4 The aiming point marking shall be an equilateral triangle with the bisector of one of the angles aligned with the preferred approach direction. The marking shall consist of continuous lines providing a contrast with the background colour, and the dimensions of the marking shall conform to those shown in Figure 5-7.  <b>Figure 5-7. Aiming point marking</b>	AC139-8, 5.2.15.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.8.1  Standard	<p>5.2.8 TLOF perimeter marking</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of TLOF perimeter marking is to provide to the pilot an indication of an area that is free of obstacles; has dynamic load bearing; and in which, when positioned in accordance with the TDPM, undercarriage containment is assured.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.2.8.1 A TLOF perimeter marking shall be displayed on a TLOF located in a FATO at a surface-level heliport if the perimeter of the TLOF is not self-evident.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.16.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.8.2  Standard	<p>5.2.8.2 A TLOF perimeter marking shall be displayed on an elevated heliport, a helideck and a shipboard heliport.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.16.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.8.3  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.2.8.3 A TLOF perimeter marking shall be located along the edge of the TLOF.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.17.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.8.4  Standard	<p><b>Characteristics</b></p> <p>5.2.8.4 A TLOF perimeter marking shall consist of a continuous white line with a width of at least 30 cm.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.18.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.1  Standard	<p>5.2.9 Touchdown/positioning marking</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of touchdown/positioning marking (TDPM) is to provide visual cues which permit a helicopter to be placed in a specific position such that, when the pilot's seat is above the marking, the undercarriage is within the load-bearing area and all parts of the helicopter will be clear of any obstacles by a safe margin.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.2.9.1 A TDPM shall be provided for a helicopter to touch down or be accurately placed in a specific position.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.2  Standard	<p>5.2.9.2 The TDPM shall be:</p> <p>a) when there is no limitation on the direction of touchdown/positioning, a touchdown/positioning circle (TDPC) marking; and</p> <p>b) when there is a limitation on the direction of touchdown/positioning:</p> <p>1) for unidirectional applications, a shoulder line with an associated centreline; or</p> <p>2) for multidirectional applications, a TDPC marking with prohibited landing sector(s) marked.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.3  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.2.9.3 The inner edge/inner circumference of the TDPM shall be at a distance of 0.25 D from the centre of the area in which the helicopter is to be positioned.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.4  Standard	5.2.9.4 On a helideck, the centre of the TDPC marking shall be located at the centre of the FATO, except that the marking may be offset away from the origin of the obstacle-free sector by no more than 0.1 D where an aeronautical study indicates such offsetting is necessary and would not impair safety.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.5  Standard	5.2.9.5 Prohibited landing sector markings, when provided, shall be located on the TDPM, within the relevant headings, and extend to the inner edge of the TLOF perimeter marking.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.6  Standard	<i>Characteristics</i>  5.2.9.6 The inner diameter of the TDPC shall be 0.5 D of the largest helicopter the area is intended to serve.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.7  Standard	5.2.9.7 A TDPM shall have a line width of at least 0.5 m. For a helideck and a purpose-built shipboard heliport, the line width shall be at least 1 m.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.8  Standard	5.2.9.8 The length of a shoulder line shall be 0.5 D of the largest helicopter the area is intended to serve.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.9  Standard	5.2.9.9 The prohibited landing sector marking, when provided, shall be indicated by white and red hatched markings as shown in Figure 5-8.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.9.10  Standard	5.2.9.10 The TDPM shall take precedence when used in conjunction with other markings on the TLOF except for the prohibited landing sector marking.  <i>Note.— The prohibited landing sector marking, when provided, is not intended to move the helicopter away from objects around the FATO, but to ensure that the tail is not placed in an orientation that might constitute a hazard. This is achieved by having the helicopter nose clear of the hatched markings during the touchdown.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.10.1  Recommendation	5.2.10 Heliport name marking  <i>Note.— The objective of heliport name marking is to provide to the pilot a means of identifying a heliport which can be seen, and read, from all directions of approach.</i>  <b>Application</b>  5.2.10.1 <b>Recommendation.</b> — A heliport name marking should be provided at a heliport and helideck where there is insufficient alternative means of visual identification.	AC139-8, 5.2.22.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.10.2  Recommendation	<b>Location</b>  5.2.10.2 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>Where a limited obstacle sector (LOS) exists on a helideck, the marking should be located on that side of the heliport identification marking. For a non-purpose-built heliport located on a ship's side, the marking should be located on the inboard side of the heliport identification marking in the area between the TLOF perimeter marking and the boundary of the LOS.</i>	AC139-8, 5.2.23.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.10.3  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.2.10.3 A heliport name marking shall consist of the name or the alphanumeric designator of the heliport as used in the radio (R/T) communications.	AC139-8, 5.2.24.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.10.4  Recommendation	5.2.10.4 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A heliport name marking intended for use at night or during conditions of poor visibility should be illuminated, either internally or externally.</i>	AC139-8, 5.2.24.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.10.5  Recommendation	<b>Runway-type FATOs</b>  5.2.10.5 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The characters of the marking should be not less than 3 m in height.</i>	AC139-8, 5.2.24.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.10.6  Recommendation	<p><i>All FATOs except runway-type FATOs.</i></p> <p>5.2.10.6 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The characters of the marking should be not less than 1.5 m in height at surface-level heliports and not less than 1.2 m on elevated heliports, helidecks and shipboard heliports. The colour of the marking should contrast with the background and preferably be white.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.11.1  Standard	<p>5.2.11 Helideck obstacle-free sector (chevron) marking</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of helideck obstacle-free sector (chevron) marking is to indicate the direction and limits of a sector that is free of obstacles above the level of the helideck for the preferred approach and departure directions.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.2.11.1 A helideck with adjacent obstacles that penetrate above the level of the helideck shall have an obstacle-free sector marking.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.11.2  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.2.11.2 A helideck obstacle-free sector marking shall be located, where practicable, at a distance from the centre of the TLOF equal to the radius of the largest circle that can be drawn in the TLOF or 0.5 D, whichever is greater.</p> <p><i>Note.— Where the point of origin is outside the TLOF, and it is not practicable to physically paint the chevron, the chevron is relocated to the TLOF perimeter on the bisector of the obstacle-free sector. In this case, the distance and direction of displacement, along with the attention-getting “WARNING DISPLACED CHEVRON”, is marked in a box beneath the chevron in black characters not less than 10 cm high. (An example figure is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).)</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.2.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.11.3  Standard	<p><b>Characteristics</b></p> <p>5.2.11.3 The helideck obstacle-free sector marking shall indicate the location of the obstacle-free sector and the directions of the limits of the sector.</p> <p><i>Note.— Example figures are given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.2.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.11.4  Standard	<p>5.2.11.4 The height of the chevron shall not be less than 30 cm.</p>	AC139-8, 5.2.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.11.5  Standard	5.2.11.5 The chevron shall be marked in a conspicuous colour.	AC139-8, 5.2.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.11.6  Recommendation	5.2.11.6 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The colour of the chevron should be black.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.12.1  Recommendation	5.2.12 Helideck and shipboard heliport surface marking  <i>Note.— The objective of helideck and shipboard heliport surface marking is to provide to the pilot, by colour and conspicuity, the location of the TLOF on a helideck or shipboard heliport.</i>  <b>Application</b>  5.2.12.1 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A surface marking should be provided to assist the pilot to identify the location of the helideck or shipboard heliport during an approach by day.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.12.2  Recommendation	<b>Location</b>  5.2.12.2 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A surface marking should be applied to the dynamic load-bearing area bounded by the TLOF perimeter marking.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.12.3</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b></p>	<p><b>Characteristics</b></p> <p>5.2.12.3 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The helideck or shipboard heliport surface bounded by the TLOF perimeter marking should be of dark green using a high friction coating.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>Where the application of a surface coating may have a degrading effect on friction qualities, the surface might not be painted. In such cases, the best operating practice to enhance the conspicuity of markings is to outline deck markings with a contrasting colour.</i></p>	<p>AC139-8, 5.2.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.1</p> <p>Standard</p>	<p>5.2.13 Helicopter taxiway markings and markers</p> <p><i>Note 1.— The objective of helicopter taxiway markings and markers is, without being a hazard to the helicopter, to provide to the pilot by day and, if necessary, by night, visual cues to guide movement along the taxiway</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— The specifications for runway-holding position markings in Annex 14, Volume I, 5.2.10 are equally applicable to taxiways intended for ground taxiing of helicopters.</i></p> <p><i>Note 3.— Ground taxi-routes and air taxi-routes over a taxiway are not required to be marked.</i></p> <p><i>Note 4.— Unless otherwise indicated, it may be assumed that a helicopter taxiway is suitable for both ground taxiing and air taxiing of helicopters.</i></p> <p><i>Note 5.— Signage may be required on an aerodrome where it is necessary to indicate that a helicopter taxiway is suitable only for the use of helicopters.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.2.13.1 The centre line of a helicopter taxiway shall be identified with a marking.</p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.2</p> <p>Recommendation</p>	<p>5.2.13.2 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The edges of a helicopter taxiway, if not self-evident, should be identified with markers or markings.</i></p>		<p>Not Applicable</p>		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.3  Standard	<i>Location</i>  5.2.13.3 Helicopter taxiway markings shall be along the centre line and, if required, along the edges of a helicopter taxiway.		Not Applicable		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.4  Standard	5.2.13.4 Helicopter taxiway edge markers shall be located at a distance of 1 m to 3 m beyond the edge of the helicopter taxiway.		Not Applicable		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.5  Standard	5.2.13.5 Helicopter taxiway edge markers shall be spaced at intervals of not more than 15 m on each side of straight sections and 7.5 m on each side of curved sections with a minimum of four equally spaced markers per section.		Not Applicable		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.6  Standard	<i>Characteristics</i>  5.2.13.6 On a paved taxiway, a helicopter taxiway centre line marking shall be a continuous yellow line 15 cm in width.		Not Applicable		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.7  Standard	5.2.13.7 On an unpaved taxiway that will not accommodate painted markings, a helicopter taxiway centre line shall be marked with flush in-ground 15-cm-wide and approximately 1.5 m in length yellow markers, spaced at intervals of not more than 30 m on straight sections and not more than 15 m on curves, with a minimum of four equally spaced markers per section.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.8  Standard	5.2.13.8 Helicopter taxiway edge markings shall be a continuous double yellow line, each 15 cm in width, and spaced 15 cm apart (nearest edge to nearest edge).		Not Applicable		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.9  Standard	5.2.13.9 A helicopter taxiway edge marker shall be frangible to the wheeled undercarriage of a helicopter.		Not Applicable		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.10  Standard	5.2.13.10 A helicopter taxiway edge marker shall not exceed a plane originating at a height of 25 cm above the plane of the helicopter taxiway, at a distance of 0.5 m from the edge of the helicopter taxiway and sloping upwards and outwards at a gradient of 5 per cent to a distance of 3 m beyond the edge of the helicopter taxiway.		Not Applicable		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.11  Standard	5.2.13.11 A helicopter taxiway edge marker shall be blue.  <i>Note 1.— Guidance on suitable edge markers is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i>  <i>Note 2.— If blue markers are used on an aerodrome, signage may be required to indicate that the helicopter taxiway is suitable only for helicopters.</i>		Not Applicable		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.13.12  Standard	5.2.13.12 If the helicopter taxiway is to be used at night, the edge markers shall be internally illuminated or retro-reflective.		Not Applicable		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.14.1  Standard	5.2.14 Helicopter air taxi-route markings and markers  <i>Note.— The objective of helicopter air taxi-route markings and markers is to provide to the pilot by day and, if necessary, by night, visual cues to guide movement along the air taxi-route.</i>  <i>Application</i>  5.2.14.1 The centre line of a helicopter air taxi-route shall be identified with markers or markings.	AC139-8, 5.2.26.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.14.2  Standard	<i>Location</i>  5.2.14.2 A helicopter air taxi-route centre line marking or flush in-ground centre line marker shall be located along the centre line of the helicopter air taxi-route.	AC139-8, 5.2.27.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.14.3  Standard	<i>Characteristics</i>  5.2.14.3 A helicopter air taxi-route centre line, when on a paved surface, shall be marked with a continuous yellow line 15 cm in width.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.14.4  Standard	5.2.14.4 A helicopter air taxi-route centre line, when on an unpaved surface that will not accommodate painted markings, shall be marked with flush in-ground 15-cm-wide and approximately 1.5 m in length yellow markers, spaced at intervals of not more than 30 m on straight sections and not more than 15 m on curves, with a minimum of four equally spaced markers per section.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.14.5  Standard	5.2.14.5 If the helicopter air taxi-route is to be used at night, markers shall be either internally illuminated or retro-reflective.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.1  Standard	5.2.15 Helicopter stand markings  <i>Note.— The objective of helicopter stand markings is to provide to the pilot a visual indication of: an area that is free of obstacles and in which permitted manoeuvring, and all necessary ground functions, may take place; identification, mass and D-value limitations, when required; and guidance for manoeuvring and positioning of the helicopter within the stand.</i>  <b>Application</b>  5.2.15.1 A helicopter stand perimeter marking shall be provided.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.2  Standard	5.2.15.2 A helicopter stand shall be provided with the appropriate TDPM. See Figure 5-8.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.3  Recommendation	<p>5.2.15.3 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Alignment lines and lead-in/lead-out lines should be provided on a helicopter stand.</i></p> <p><i>Note 1.— See Chapter 3, Figures 3.5 to 3.9.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— Helicopter stand identification markings may be provided where there is a need to identify individual stands.</i></p> <p><i>Note 3.— Additional markings relating to stand size may be provided. See the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.4  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.2.15.4 The TDPM, alignment lines and lead-in/lead-out lines shall be located such that every part of the helicopter can be contained within the helicopter stand during positioning and permitted manoeuvring.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.5  Standard	<p>5.2.15.5 Alignment lines and lead-in/lead-out lines shall be located as shown in Figure 5-9.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.6  Standard	<p><b>Characteristics</b></p> <p>5.2.15.6 A helicopter stand perimeter marking shall consist of a continuous yellow line and have a line width of 15 cm.</p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.7  Standard	5.2.15.7 The TDPM shall have the characteristics described in Section 5.2.9 above.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.8  Standard	5.2.15.8 Alignment lines and lead-in/lead-out lines shall be continuous yellow lines and have a width of 15 cm.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.9  Standard	5.2.15.9 Curved portions of alignment lines and lead-in/lead-out lines shall have radii appropriate to the most demanding helicopter type the helicopter stand is intended to serve.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.15.10  Standard	5.2.15.10 Stand identification markings shall be marked in a contrasting colour so as to be easily readable.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.16.1</p> <p>Recommendation</p>	<p>5.2.16 Flight path alignment guidance marking</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of flight path alignment guidance marking is to provide the pilot with a visual indication of the available approach and/or departure path direction(s).</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.2.16.1 <b>Recommendation.—</b> <i>Flight path alignment guidance marking(s) should be provided at a heliport where it is desirable and practicable to indicate available approach and/or departure path direction(s).</i></p> <p><i>Note.— The flight path alignment guidance marking can be combined with a flight path alignment guidance lighting system described in 5.3.4.</i></p>	<p>AC139-8, Ch 5.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.16.2</p> <p>Standard</p>	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.2.16.2 The flight path alignment guidance marking shall be located in a straight line along the direction of approach and/or departure path on one or more of the TLOF, FATO, safety area or any suitable surface in the immediate vicinity of the FATO or safety area.</p>	<p>AC139-8, Ch 5.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.16.3  Standard	<p><b>Characteristics</b></p> <p>5.2.16.3 A flight path alignment guidance marking shall consist of one or more arrows marked on the TLOF, FATO and/or safety area surface as shown in Figure 5-10. The stroke of the arrow(s) shall be 50 cm in width and at least 3 m in length. When combined with a flight path alignment guidance lighting system it shall take the form shown in Figure 5-10 which includes the scheme for marking “heads of the arrows” which are constant regardless of stroke length.</p> <p><i>Note.— In the case of a flight path limited to a single approach direction or single departure direction, the arrow marking may be unidirectional. In the case of a heliport with only a single approach/departure path available, one bidirectional arrow is marked.</i></p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.2.16.4  Recommendation	<p>5.2.16.4 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The markings should be in a colour which provides good contrast against the background colour of the surface on which they are marked, preferably white.</i></p> <p><b>Figure 5-10. Flight path alignment guidance markings and lights</b></p>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.2.1  Recommendation	<p style="text-align: center;">5.3.2 Heliport beacon</p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.3.2.1 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>A heliport beacon should be provided at a heliport where:</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>a) long-range visual guidance is considered necessary and is not provided by other visual means; or</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>b) identification of the heliport is difficult due to surrounding lights.</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.3.2.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Item a) is not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.2.2  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.3.2.2 The heliport beacon shall be located on or adjacent to the heliport preferably at an elevated position and so that it does not dazzle a pilot at short range.</p> <p><i>Note.— Where a heliport beacon is likely to dazzle pilots at short range, it may be switched off during the final stages of the approach and landing.</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.3.3.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.2.3  Standard	<p><b>Characteristics</b></p> <p>5.3.2.3 The heliport beacon shall emit repeated series of equispaced short duration white flashes in the format in Figure 5-11.</p>	AC139-8, 5.3.4.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.2.4  Standard	5.3.2.4 The light from the beacon shall show at all angles of azimuth.  <b>Figure 5-11. Heliport beacon flash characteristics</b>	AC139-8, 5.3.4.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.2.5  Recommendation	5.3.2.5 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The effective light intensity distribution of each flash should be as shown in Figure 5-12, Illustration 1.</i>  <i>Note.— Where brilliancy control is desired, settings of 10 per cent and 3 per cent have been found to be satisfactory. In addition, shielding may be necessary to ensure that pilots are not dazzled during the final stages of the approach and landing.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.4.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.3.1  Recommendation	5.3.3 Approach lighting system  <b>Application</b>  5.3.3.1 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>An approach lighting system should be provided at a heliport where it is desirable and practicable to indicate a preferred approach direction.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.3.2  Standard	<b>Location</b>  5.3.3.2 The approach lighting system shall be located in a straight line along the preferred direction of approach.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.3.3  Recommendation	<p><b>Characteristics</b></p> <p>5.3.3.3 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>An approach lighting system should consist of a row of three lights spaced uniformly at 30 m intervals and of a crossbar 18 m in length at a distance of 90 m from the perimeter of the FATO as shown in Figure 5-13. The lights forming the crossbar should be as nearly as practicable in a horizontal straight line at right angles to, and bisected by, the line of the centre line lights and spaced at 4.5 m intervals. Where there is the need to make the final approach course more conspicuous, additional lights spaced uniformly at 30 m intervals should be added beyond the crossbar. The lights beyond the crossbar may be steady or sequenced flashing, depending upon the environment.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>Sequenced flashing lights may be useful where identification of the approach lighting system is difficult due to surrounding lights.</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.3.4  Standard	5.3.3.4 The steady lights shall be omnidirectional white lights.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.3.5  Standard	5.3.3.5 Sequenced flashing lights shall be omnidirectional white lights.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.3.6  Recommendation	5.3.3.6 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The flashing lights should have a flash frequency of one per second and their light distribution should be as shown in Figure 5-12, Illustration 3. The flash sequence should commence from the outermost light and progress towards the crossbar.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.3.7  Recommendation	5.3.3.7 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A suitable brilliancy control should be incorporated to allow for adjustment of light intensity to meet the prevailing conditions.</i>  <i>Note.— The following intensity settings have been found suitable:</i>  <i>a) steady lights — 100 per cent, 30 per cent and 10 per cent; and</i>  <i>b) flashing lights — 100 per cent, 10 per cent and 3 per cent.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.4.1  Recommendation	5.3.4 Flight path alignment guidance lighting system  <b>Application</b>  5.3.4.1 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>Flight path alignment guidance lighting system(s) should be provided at a heliport where it is desirable and practicable to indicate available approach and/or departure path direction(s).</i>  <i>Note.— The flight path alignment guidance lighting can be combined with the flight path alignment guidance marking described in 5.2.16.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.4.2  Standard	<b>Location</b>  5.3.4.2 The flight path alignment guidance lighting system shall be in a straight line along the direction(s) of approach and/or departure path on one or more of the TLOF, FATO, safety area or any suitable surface in the immediate vicinity of the FATO, TLOF or safety area.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.4.3  Recommendation	5.3.4.3 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>If combined with a flight path alignment guidance marking, as far as is practicable the lights should be located inside the “arrow” markings.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.4.4  Recommendation	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.3.4.4 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A flight path alignment guidance lighting system should consist of a row of three or more lights spaced uniformly with a total minimum distance of 6 m. Intervals between lights should not be less than 1.5 m and should not exceed 3 m. Where space permits, there should be 5 lights. (See Figure 5-10.)</i>  <i>Note.</i> — <i>The number of lights and spacing between these lights may be adjusted to reflect the space available. If more than one flight path alignment system is used to indicate available approach and/or departure path direction(s), the characteristics for each system are typically kept the same. (See Figure 5-10.)</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.4.5  Standard	5.3.4.5 The lights shall be steady omnidirectional inset white lights.	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.4.6  Recommendation	5.3.4.6 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The distribution of the lights should be as indicated in Figure 5-12, Illustration5.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.4.7  Recommendation	5.3.4.7 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A suitable control should be incorporated to allow for adjustment of light intensity to meet the prevailing conditions and to balance the flight path alignment guidance lighting system with other heliport lights and general lighting that may be present around the heliport.</i>	AC139-8, Ch 5.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.5.1  Recommendation</p>	<p>5.3.5 Visual alignment guidance system</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of a visual alignment guidance system is to provide conspicuous and discrete cues to assist the pilot to attain and maintain a specified approach track to a heliport. Guidance on suitable visual alignment guidance systems is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation.—</b> <i>A visual alignment guidance system should be provided to serve the approach to a heliport where one or more of the following conditions exist, especially at night:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>a) obstacle clearance, noise abatement or traffic control procedures require a particular direction to be flown;</i></li> <li><i>b) the environment of the heliport provides few visual surface cues; and</i></li> <li><i>c) it is physically impracticable to install an approach lighting system.</i></li> </ul>	<p>AC139-8, 5.3.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.6.1  Recommendation</p>	<p>5.3.6 Visual approach slope indicator</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of a visual approach slope indicator is to provide conspicuous and discrete colour cues, within a specified elevation and azimuth, to assist the pilot to attain and maintain the approach slope to a desired position within a FATO. Guidance on suitable visual approach slope indicators is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation.—</b> <i>A visual approach slope indicator should be provided to serve the approach to a heliport, whether or not the heliport is served by other visual approach aids or by non-visual aids, where one or more of the following conditions exist, especially at night:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>a) obstacle clearance, noise abatement or traffic control procedures require a particular slope to be flown;</i></li> <li><i>b) the environment of the heliport provides few visual surface cues; and</i></li> <li><i>c) the characteristics of the helicopter require a stabilized approach.</i></li> </ul>	<p>AC139-8, 5.3.</p>	<p>Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented</p>	<p>Not specified.</p>	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.7.1  Standard	<p>5.3.7 FATO lighting systems for onshore surface-level heliports</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of a FATO lighting system for onshore surface-level heliports is to provide to the pilot operating at night an indication of the shape, location and extent of the FATO.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.3.7.1 Where a FATO with a solid surface is established at a surface-level heliport intended for use at night, FATO lights shall be provided except that they may be omitted where the FATO and the TLOF are nearly coincidental or the extent of the FATO is self-evident.</p>	AC139-8, 5.3.5.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.7.2  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.3.7.2 FATO lights shall be placed along the edges of the FATO. The lights shall be uniformly spaced as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) for an area in the form of a square or rectangle, at intervals of not more than 50 m with a minimum of four lights on each side including a light at each corner; and</li> <li>b) for any other shaped area, including a circular area, at intervals of not more than 5 m with a minimum of ten lights.</li> </ul>	AC139-8, 5.3.6.	No Difference		Note: anomaly in AC to be resolved - the spacing for the square or rectangle is given as 5 m, not 50.



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.7.3  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.3.7.3 FATO lights shall be fixed omnidirectional lights showing white. Where the intensity of the lights is to be varied, the lights shall show variable white.	AC139-8, 5.3.7.	Different in character or other means of compliance	The AC specifies alternate yellow and blue lights, with the corner lights yellow, for positive identification.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.7.4  Recommendation	5.3.7.4 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The light distribution of FATO lights should be as shown in Figure 5-12, Illustration 4.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3, Figures 5-6(a) and 5-6(b).	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.7.5  Recommendation	5.3.7.5 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The lights should not exceed a height of 25 cm and should be inset when a light extending above the surface would endanger helicopter operations. Where a FATO is not meant for lift-off or touchdown, the lights should not exceed a height of 25 cm above ground or snow level.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.8.1  Recommendation	<p>5.3.8 Aiming point lights</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of aiming point lights is to provide a visual cue indicating to the pilot by night the preferred approach/departure direction, the point to which the helicopter approaches to a hover before positioning to a TLOF where a touchdown can be made, and that the surface of the FATO is not intended for touchdown.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.3.8.1 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Where an aiming point marking is provided at a heliport intended for use at night, aiming point lights should be provided.</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.3.22.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.8.2  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.3.8.2 Aiming point lights shall be collocated with the aiming point marking.</p>	AC139-8, 5.3.23.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.8.3  Standard	<p><b>Characteristics</b></p> <p>5.3.8.3 Aiming point lights shall form a pattern of at least six omnidirectional white lights as shown in Figure 5-7. The lights shall be inset when a light extending above the surface could endanger helicopter operations.</p>	AC139-8, 5.3.24.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.8.4  Recommendation	5.3.8.4 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The light distribution of aiming point lights should be as shown in Figure 5-12, Illustration 4.</i>	AC139-8, Figure 5-7.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.1  Standard	5.3.9 TLOF lighting system  <i>Note.— The objective of a TLOF lighting system is to provide illumination of the TLOF and required elements within. For a TLOF located in a FATO, the objective is to provide discernibility to the pilot, on a final approach, of the TLOF and required elements within; while for a TLOF located on an elevated heliport, shipboard heliport or helideck, the objective is visual acquisition from a defined range and to provide sufficient shape cues to permit an appropriate approach angle to be established.</i>  <b>Application</b>  5.3.9.1 A TLOF lighting system shall be provided at a heliport intended for use at night.  <i>Note.— Where a TLOF is located in a stand, the objective may be met with the use of ambient lighting or stand floodlighting.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.9.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.2  Standard	<p>5.3.9.2 For a surface-level heliport, lighting for the TLOF in a FATO shall consist of one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) perimeter lights;</li> <li>b) floodlighting;</li> <li>c) arrays of segmented point source lighting (ASPSL) or luminescent panel (LP) lighting to identify the TLOF when a) and b) are not practicable and FATO lights are available.</li> </ul>	AC139-8, 5.3.10.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Item c) is not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.3  Standard	<p>5.3.9.3 For an elevated heliport, shipboard heliport or helideck, lighting for the TLOF in a FATO shall consist of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) perimeter lights; and</li> <li>b) ASPSL and/or LPs to identify the TDPM and/or floodlighting to illuminate the TLOF.</li> </ul> <p><i>Note.— At elevated heliports, shipboard heliports and helidecks, surface texture cues within the TLOF are essential for helicopter positioning during the final approach and landing. Such cues can be provided using various forms of lighting (ASPSL, LP, floodlights or a combination of these lights, etc.) in addition to perimeter lights. Best results have been demonstrated by the combination of perimeter lights and ASPSL in the form of encapsulated strips of light emitting diodes n (LEDs) and inset lights to identify the TDPM and heliport identification markings.</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.3.12.	Different in character or other means of compliance	Perimeter lights and floodlighting are specified; no provision for ASPL or LPs.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.4  Recommendation	<p>5.3.9.4 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>TLOF ASPSL and/or LPs to identify the TDPM and/or floodlighting should be provided at a surface-level heliport intended for use at night when enhanced surface texture cues are required.</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.5  Standard	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.3.9.5 TLOF perimeter lights shall be placed along the edge of the area designated for use as the TLOF or within a distance of 1.5 m from the edge. Where the TLOF is a circle, the lights shall be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) located on straight lines in a pattern which will provide information to pilots on drift displacement; and</li> <li>b) where a) is not practicable, evenly spaced around the perimeter of the TLOF at the appropriate interval, except that over a sector of 45 degrees the lights shall be spaced at half spacing.</li> </ul>	AC139-8, 5.3.13.	Different in character or other means of compliance	TALO perimeter lights should either be placed: a) along the edge of the TALO or within 1500 mm from the edge; or b) along the edge of the usable area of an elevated heliport. (This may be at a dimension less than the FATO but should be at least at a distance of 1500 mm surrounding the TALO); and c) where the TALO is a circle, the lights should be located on straight lines in a pattern that will provide information to pilots on drift displacement.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.6  Standard	<p>5.3.9.6 TLOF perimeter lights shall be uniformly spaced at intervals of not more than 3 m for elevated heliports and helidecks and not more than 5 m for surface-level heliports. There shall be a minimum number of four lights on each side including a light at each corner. For a circular TLOF where lights are installed in accordance with 5.3.9.5 b), there shall be a minimum of fourteen lights.</p> <p><i>Note.— Guidance on this issue is contained in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	AC139-8, 5.3.14.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	TALO perimeter lights should be uniformly spaced at intervals of not more than 3000 mm for elevated heliports and helidecks and not more than 5000 mm for surface level heliports. There should be a minimum number of eight lights with three lights on each side (including the lights at each corner).	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.7  Standard	5.3.9.7 The TLOF perimeter lights shall be installed at an elevated heliport or fixed helideck such that the pattern cannot be seen by the pilot from below the elevation of the TLOF.	AC139-8, 5.3.15.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.8  Standard	5.3.9.8 The TLOF perimeter lights shall be installed on a moving helideck or shipboard heliport such that the pattern cannot be seen by the pilot from below the elevation of the TLOF when the helideck or shipboard heliport is level.	AC139-8, 5.3.16.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.9  Standard	5.3.9.9 On surface-level heliports, ASPSL or LPs, if provided to identify the TLOF, shall be placed along the marking designating the edge of the TLOF. Where the TLOF is a circle, they shall be located on straight lines circumscribing the area.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.10  Standard	5.3.9.10 On surface-level heliports, the minimum number of LPs on a TLOF shall be nine. The total length of LPs in a pattern shall not be less than 50 per cent of the length of the pattern. There shall be an odd number with a minimum number of three panels on each side of the TLOF including a panel at each corner. LPs shall be uniformly spaced with a distance between adjacent panel ends of not more than 5 m on each side of the TLOF.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.11  Recommendation	5.3.9.11 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>When LPs are used on an elevated heliport or helideck to enhance surface texture cues, the panels should not be placed adjacent to the perimeter lights. They should be placed around a TDPM or coincident with heliport identification marking.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.12  Standard	5.3.9.12 TLOF floodlights shall be located so as to avoid glare to pilots in flight or to personnel working on the area. The arrangement and aiming of floodlights shall be such that shadows are kept to a minimum.  <i>Note.— ASPSL and LPs used to designate the TDPM and/or heliport identification marking have been shown to provide enhanced surface texture cues when compared to low-level floodlights. Due to the risk of misalignment, if floodlights are used, there will be a need for them to be checked periodically to ensure they remain within the specifications contained within 5.3.9.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.17.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.13  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.3.9.13 The TLOF perimeter lights shall be fixed omnidirectional lights showing green.	AC139-8, 5.3.18.	Different in character or other means of compliance	Yellow, rather than green.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.14  Standard	5.3.9.14 At a surface-level heliport, ASPSL or LPs shall emit green light when used to define the perimeter of the TLOF.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.15  Recommendation	5.3.9.15 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The chromaticity and luminance of colours of LPs should conform to Annex 14, Volume I, Appendix 1, 3.4.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.16  Standard	5.3.9.16 An LP shall have a minimum width of 6 cm. The panel housing shall be the same colour as the marking it defines.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.17  Standard	5.3.9.17 For a surface-level or elevated heliport, the TLOF perimeter lights located in a FATO shall not exceed a height of 5 cm and shall be inset when a light extending above the surface could endanger helicopter operations.	AC139-8, 5.3.19.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.18  Standard	5.3.9.18 For a helideck or shipboard heliport, the TLOF perimeter lights shall not exceed a height of 5 cm, or for a FATO/TLOF, 15 cm.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.19  Recommendation	5.3.9.19 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>When located within the safety area of a surface-level or elevated heliport, the TLOF floodlights should not exceed a height of 25 cm.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.20.	Different in character or other means of compliance	TALO floodlighting, if mounted on the perimeter, should not exceed a height of 250 mm.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.20  Standard	5.3.9.20 For a helideck or shipboard heliport, the TLOF floodlights shall not exceed a height of 5 cm, or for a FATO/TLOF, 15 cm.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.21  Standard	5.3.9.21 The LPs shall not extend above the surface by more than 2.5 cm.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.22  Recommendation	5.3.9.22 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The light distribution of the perimeter lights should be as shown in Figure 5-12, Illustration 5.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.23  Recommendation	5.3.9.23 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The light distribution of the LPs should be as shown in Figure 5-12, Illustration 6.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.24  Standard	5.3.9.24 The spectral distribution of TLOF floodlights shall be such that the surface and obstacle markings can be correctly identified.	AC139-8, 5.3.21.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.25  Recommendation	5.3.9.25 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The average horizontal illuminance of the floodlighting should be at least 10 lux, with a uniformity ratio (average to minimum) of not more than 8:1 measured on the surface of the TLOF.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.26  Recommendation	5.3.9.26 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>Lighting used to identify the TDPC should comprise a segmented circle of omnidirectional ASPSL strips showing yellow. The segments should consist of ASPSL strips, and the total length of the ASPSL strips should not be less than 50 per cent of the circumference of the circle.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.9.27  Recommendation	5.3.9.27 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>If utilized, the heliport identification marking lighting should be omnidirectional showing green.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.10.1</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b></p>	<p>5.3.10 Helicopter stand floodlighting</p> <p><i>Note.— The objective of helicopter stand floodlighting is to provide illumination of the stand surface and associated markings to assist the manoeuvring and positioning of a helicopter and facilitation of essential operations around the helicopter.</i></p> <p><b>Application</b></p> <p>5.3.10.1 <b>Recommendation.—</b> <i>Helicopter stand floodlighting should be provided on a helicopter stand intended to be used at night.</i></p> <p><i>Note.— Guidance on stand floodlighting is given in the apron floodlighting section in the Aerodrome Design Manual (Doc 9157), Part 4.</i></p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>
<p>Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.10.2</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b></p>	<p><b>Location</b></p> <p>5.3.10.2 <b>Recommendation.—</b> <i>Helicopter stand floodlights should be located so as to provide adequate illumination, with a minimum of glare to the pilot of a helicopter in flight and on the ground, and to personnel on the stand. The arrangement and aiming of floodlights should be such that a helicopter stand receives light from two or more directions to minimize shadows.</i></p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.10.3  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.3.10.3 The spectral distribution of stand floodlights shall be such that the colours used for surface and obstacle marking can be correctly identified.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.10.4  Standard	5.3.10.4 Horizontal and vertical illuminance shall be sufficient to ensure that visual cues are discernible for required manoeuvring and positioning, and essential operations around the helicopter can be performed expeditiously without endangering personnel or equipment.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.11.1  Standard	5.3.11 Winching area floodlighting  <i>Note.— The objective of winching area floodlighting is to provide illumination of the surface and obstacles, and visual cues to assist a helicopter to be positioned over, and retained within, an area from which a passenger or equipment can be lowered or raised.</i>  <b>Application</b>  5.3.11.1 Winching area floodlighting shall be provided at a winching area intended for use at night.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.11.2  Standard	<b>Location</b>  5.3.11.2 Winching area floodlights shall be located so as to avoid glare to pilots in flight or to personnel working on the area. The arrangement and aiming of floodlights shall be such that shadows are kept to a minimum.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.11.3  Standard	<b>Characteristics</b>  5.3.11.3 The spectral distribution of winching area floodlights shall be such that the surface and obstacle markings can be correctly identified.	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.11.4  Recommendation	5.3.11.4 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The average horizontal illuminance should be at least 10 lux, measured on the surface of the winching area.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	Not specified.	
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.13.1  Recommendation	5.3.13.1 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>Where an aeronautical study indicates that obstacles in areas outside and below the boundaries of the obstacle limitation surface established for a heliport constitute a hazard to helicopters, they should be marked and lit, except that the marking may be omitted when the obstacle is lighted with high-intensity obstacle lights by day.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.13.2  Recommendation	5.3.13.2 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>Where an aeronautical study indicates that overhead wires or cables crossing a river, waterway, valley or highway constitute a hazard to helicopters, they should be marked, and their supporting towers marked and lit.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.14.1  Standard	5.3.14 Floodlighting of obstacles  <i>Application</i>  5.3.14.1 At a heliport intended for use at night, obstacles shall be floodlighted if it is not possible to display obstacle lights on them.	AC139-8, 5.3.26.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.14.2  Standard	<i>Location</i>  5.3.14.2 Obstacle floodlights shall be arranged so as to illuminate the entire obstacle and as far as practicable in a manner so as not to dazzle pilots.	AC139-8, 5.3.26.	No Difference		
Chapter 5 Reference 5.3.14.3  Recommendation	<i>Characteristics</i>  5.3.14.3 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>Obstacle floodlighting should be such as to produce a luminance of at least 10 cd/m<sup>2</sup>.</i>	AC139-8, 5.3.26.	No Difference		



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.1.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CHAPTER 6. HELIPORT EMERGENCY RESPONSE</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>6.1 Heliport emergency planning</b></p> <p><i>Introductory Note.— Heliport emergency planning is the process of preparing a heliport to cope with an emergency that takes place at the heliport or in its vicinity. Examples of emergencies include crashes on or off the heliport, medical emergencies, dangerous goods occurrences, fires and natural disasters. The purpose of heliport emergency planning is to minimize the impact of an emergency by saving lives and maintaining helicopter operations. The heliport emergency plan sets out the procedures for coordinating the response of heliport agencies or services (air traffic services unit, firefighting services, heliport administration, medical and ambulance services, aircraft operators, security services and police) and the response of agencies in the surrounding community (fire departments, police, medical and ambulance services, hospitals, military, and harbour patrol or coast guard) that could be of assistance in responding to the emergency.</i></p> <p>6.1.1 A heliport emergency plan shall be established commensurate with the helicopter operations and other activities conducted at the heliport.</p>	CAR 139.57, Aerodrome emergency plan; AC139-4, Aerodrome Rescue and Firefighting.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The rule would apply only to heliports located on a licensed aerodrome (for which an emergency plan is required).	
Chapter 6 Reference 6.1.2  Standard	<p>6.1.2 The plan shall identify agencies which could be of assistance in responding to an emergency at the heliport or in its vicinity.</p>	CAR 139.57; AC139-4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The rule would apply only to heliports located on a licensed aerodrome (for which an emergency plan is required).	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.1.3  Recommendation	6.1.3 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The heliport emergency plan should provide for the coordination of the actions to be taken in the event of an emergency occurring at a heliport or in its vicinity.</i>	CAR 139.57; AC139-4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The rule would apply only to heliports located on a licensed aerodrome (for which an emergency plan is required).	
Chapter 6 Reference 6.1.4  Recommendation	6.1.4 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>Where an approach/departure path at a heliport is located over water, the plan should identify which agency is responsible for coordinating rescue in the event of a helicopter ditching and indicate how to contact that agency.</i>	CAR 139.57; AC139-4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The rule would apply only to heliports located on a licensed aerodrome (for which an emergency plan is required).	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.1.5  Recommendation	<p>6.1.5 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The plan should include, as a minimum, the following information:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) <i>the types of emergencies planned for;</i></li> <li>b) <i>how to initiate the plan for each emergency specified;</i></li> <li>c) <i>the name of agencies on and off the heliport to contact for each type of emergency with telephone numbers or other contact information;</i></li> <li>d) <i>the role of each agency for each type of emergency;</i></li> <li>e) <i>a list of pertinent on-heliport services available with telephone numbers or other contact information;</i></li> <li>f) <i>copies of any written agreements with other agencies for mutual aid and the provision of emergency services; and</i></li> <li>g) <i>a grid map of the heliport and its immediate vicinity.</i></li> </ul>	CAR 139.57; AC139-4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The rule would apply only to heliports located on a licensed aerodrome (for which an emergency plan is required).	
Chapter 6 Reference 6.1.6  Recommendation	<p>6.1.6 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>All agencies identified in the plan should be consulted about their role in the plan.</i></p>	CAR 139.57; AC139-4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The rule would apply only to heliports located on a licensed aerodrome (for which an emergency plan is required).	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.1.7  Recommendation	6.1.7 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>The plan should be reviewed and the information in it updated at least yearly or, if deemed necessary, after an actual emergency, so as to correct any deficiency found during an actual emergency.</i>	CAR 139.57; AC139-4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The rule would apply only to heliports located on a licensed aerodrome (for which an emergency plan is required).	
Chapter 6 Reference 6.1.8  Recommendation	6.1.8 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A test of the emergency plan should be carried out at least once every three years.</i>	CAR 139.57; AC139-4.	Less protective or partially implemented or not implemented	The rule would apply only to heliports located on a licensed aerodrome (for which an emergency plan is required).	



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.1.1  Standard	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>6.2 Rescue and firefighting</b></p> <p><i>Introductory Note.— It is important this section be read in conjunction with the appropriate detailed guidance on rescue and firefighting options given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p><i>Provisions described in this section are intended to address incidents or accidents within the heliport response area only. No dedicated firefighting provisions are included for helicopter accidents or incidents that may occur outside the response area, such as on an adjacent roof near an elevated heliport.</i></p> <p><i>Complementary agents are ideally dispensed from one or two extinguishers (although more extinguishers may be permitted where high volumes of an agent are specified, e.g. H3 operations). The discharge rate of complementary agents needs to be selected for optimum effectiveness of the agent used. When selecting dry chemical powders for use with foam, care needs to be exercised to ensure compatibility. Complementary agents need to comply with the appropriate specifications of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO).</i></p> <p><i>Where a fixed monitor system (FMS) is installed, trained monitor operators, where provided, are positioned on at least the upwind location to ensure primary media is directed to the seat of the fire. For a ring-main system (RMS) practical testing has indicated that these solutions are only guaranteed to be fully effective for TLOFs up to 20 m diameter. If the TLOF is greater than 20 m, an RMS should not be considered unless supplemented by other means to distribute primary media (e.g. additional pop-up nozzles installed in the centre of the TLOF).</i></p> <p><i>The International Convention for the Safety of Life at</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
	<p><i>Sea (SOLAS) sets forth provisions on rescue and firefighting (RFF) arrangements for purpose-built and non-purpose-built shipboard heliports in SOLAS regulations II 2/18, II-2-Helicopter Facilities, and the SOLAS Fire Safety Systems Code.</i></p> <p><i>It may therefore be assumed that this chapter does not include RFF arrangements for purpose built or non-purpose-built shipboard heliports or for winching areas.</i></p> <p>6.2.1 Applicability</p> <p>6.2.1.1 The following specifications shall apply to new builds or replacement of existing systems or part thereof from 1 January 2023: 6.2.2.1, 6.2.3.3, 6.2.3.4, 6.2.3.6, 6.2.3.7, 6.2.3.9, 6.2.3.10, 6.2.3.12, 6.2.3.13 and 6.2.4.2.</p> <p><i>Note.— For areas for the exclusive use of helicopters at aerodromes primarily for the use of aeroplanes, distribution of extinguishing agents, response time, rescue equipment and personnel have not been considered in this section. See Annex 14, Volume I, Chapter 9.</i></p>				
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.1.2  Standard	6.2.1.2 Rescue and firefighting equipment and services shall be provided at helidecks and at elevated heliports located above occupied structures.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.1.3  Recommendation	<p>6.2.1.3 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>A safety risk assessment should be performed to determine the need for RFF equipment and services at surface-level heliports and elevated heliports located above unoccupied structures.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>Further guidance on factors to inform the safety risk assessment, including staffing models for heliports with only occasional movements and examples of unoccupied areas that may be located beneath elevated heliports, is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.2.1  Standard	<p>6.2.2 Level of protection provided</p> <p>6.2.2.1 For the application of primary media, the discharge rate (in litres/minute) applied over the assumed practical critical area (in m<sup>2</sup>) shall be predicated on a requirement to bring any fire which may occur on the heliport under control within one minute, measured from activation of the system at the appropriate discharge rate.</p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.2.2</p> <p>Recommendation</p>	<p><b>Practical critical area calculation where primary media is applied as a solid stream</b></p> <p><i>Note.— This section is not applicable to helidecks regardless of how primary media is being delivered.</i></p> <p><b>6.2.2.2 Recommendation.—</b> <i>The practical critical area should be calculated by multiplying the helicopter fuselage length (m) by the helicopter fuselage width (m) plus an additional width factor (W1) of 4 m. Categorization from H0 to H3 should be determined on the basis of the fuselage dimensions in Table 6-1.</i></p> <p><i>Note 1.— For helicopters which exceed one or both of the dimensions for a category H3 heliport, it will be necessary to recalculate the level of protection using practical critical area assumptions based on the actual fuselage length and the actual fuselage width of the helicopter plus an additional width factor (W1) of 6 m.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— The practical critical area may be considered on a helicopter type-specific basis by using the formula in 6.2.2.2. Guidance on practical critical area in relation to the heliport firefighting category is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261) where a discretionary 10 per cent tolerance on fuselage dimension “upper limits” is applied.</i></p> <p><b>Table 6-1. Heliport firefighting category</b></p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.2.3  Recommendation	<p><i>Practical critical area calculation where primary media is applied in a dispersed pattern</i></p> <p>6.2.2.3      <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>For heliports, except helidecks, the practical critical area should be based on an area contained within the heliport perimeter, which always includes the TLOF, and to the extent that it is load-bearing, the FATO.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.2.4  Recommendation	<p>6.2.2.4      <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>For helidecks, the practical critical area should be based on the largest circle capable of being accommodated within the TLOF perimeter.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>Paragraph 6.2.2.4 is applied for the practical critical area calculation for helidecks regardless of how primary media is being delivered.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
<p>Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.1  Recommendation</p>	<p>6.2.3 Extinguishing agents</p> <p><i>Note 1.— Throughout section 6.2.3, the discharge rate of a performance level B foam is assumed to be based on an application rate of 5.5 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>, and for a performance level C foam and for water, is assumed to be based on an application rate of 3.75 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>. These rates may be reduced if, through practical testing, a State demonstrates that the objectives of 6.2.2.1 can be achieved for a specific foam use at a lower discharge rate (L/min).</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— Information on the required physical properties and fire extinguishing performance criteria needed for a foam to achieve an acceptable performance level B or C rating is given in the Airport Services Manual (Doc 9137), Part 1.</i></p> <p><b>Surface level heliports with primary media applied as a solid stream using a portable foam application system (PFAS)</b></p> <p><i>Note.— Except for a limited-sized surface-level heliport, the assumption is made that foam dispensing equipment will be transported to the incident or accident location on an appropriate vehicle (a PFAS).</i></p> <p><b>6.2.3.1 Recommendation.—</b> <i>Where a rescue and firefighting service (RFFS) is provided at a surface-level heliport, the amount of primary media and complementary agents should be in accordance with Table 6 2.</i></p> <p><i>Note.— The minimum discharge duration in Table 6-2 is assumed to be two minutes. However, if the availability of back-up specialist fire services is remote from the heliport, consideration may need to be given to increasing the discharge duration from two minutes to three minutes.</i></p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
	<p><b>Table 6-2. Minimum usable amounts of extinguishing agents for surface-level heliports</b></p>				
<p>Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.2</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b></p>	<p><i>Elevated heliports with primary media applied as a solid stream using a fixed foam application system (FFAS)</i></p> <p><i>Note.— The assumption is made that primary media (foam) will be delivered through a fixed foam application system such as an FMS.</i></p> <p><b>6.2.3.2 Recommendation.—</b> <i>Where an RFFS is provided at an elevated heliport, the amount of foam media and complementary agents should be in accordance with Table 6 -3.</i></p> <p><i>Note 1.— The minimum discharge duration in Table 6-3 is assumed to be five minutes.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2.— For guidance on the provision of additional hand-controlled foam branches for the application of aspirated foam, see the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Table 6-3. Minimum usable amounts of extinguishing agents for elevated heliports</b></p>	<p>CAR</p>	<p>No Difference</p>	<p>NIL</p>	<p>NIL</p>



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.3  Recommendation	<p><i>Elevated heliports/limited-sized surface-level heliports with primary media applied in a dispersed pattern through an FFAS — a solid-plate heliport</i></p> <p>6.2.3.3 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The amount of water required for foam production should be predicated on the practical critical area (m2) multiplied by the appropriate application rate (L/min/m2), giving a discharge rate for foam solution (in L/min). The discharge rate should be multiplied by the discharge duration to calculate the amount of water needed for foam production.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.4  Recommendation	<p>6.2.3.4 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The discharge duration should be at least three minutes.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.5  Recommendation	<p>6.2.3.5 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Complementary media should be in accordance with Table 6-3, for H2 operations.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>For helicopters with a fuselage length greater than 16 m and/or a fuselage width greater than 2.5 m, complementary media in Table 6-3 for H3 operations may be considered.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.6  Recommendation	<p><i>Purpose-built elevated heliports/limited-sized surface-level heliports with primary media applied in a dispersed pattern through a fixed application system (FAS) — a passive fire retarding surface with water-only deck integrated firefighting system (DIFFS)</i></p> <p>6.2.3.6 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The amount of water required should be predicated on the practical critical area (m<sup>2</sup>) multiplied by the appropriate application rate (3.75 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>) giving a discharge rate for water (in L/min). The discharge rate should be multiplied by the discharge duration to determine the total amount of water needed.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.7  Recommendation	<p>6.2.3.7 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The discharge duration should be at least two minutes.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.8  Recommendation	<p>6.2.3.8 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Complementary media should be in accordance with Table 6-3 for H2 operations.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>For helicopters with a fuselage length greater than 16 m and/or a fuselage width greater than 2.5 m, complementary media for H3 operations may be considered.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.9  Recommendation	<p><b>Purpose-built helidecks with primary media applied in a solid stream or a dispersed pattern through a fixed foam application system (FFAS) — a solid-plate heliport</b></p> <p>6.2.3.9 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The amount of water required for foam media production should be predicated on the practical critical area (m2) multiplied by the application rate (L/min/m2) giving a discharge rate for foam solution (in L/min). The discharge rate should be multiplied by the discharge duration to calculate the amount of water needed for foam production.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.10  Recommendation	<p>6.2.3.10 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The discharge duration should be at least five minutes.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.11  Recommendation	<p>6.2.3.11 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Complementary media should be in accordance with Table 6-3 to H0 levels for helidecks up to and including 16.0 m and to H1/H2 levels for helidecks greater than 16.0 m. Helidecks greater than 24 m should adopt H3 levels.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>For guidance on the provision of additional hand-controlled foam branches for the application of aspirated foam, see the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL





Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.12  Recommendation	<p><b>Purpose-built helidecks with primary media applied in a dispersed pattern through an FAS — a passive fire-retarding surface with water-only DIFFS</b></p> <p>6.2.3.12 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The amount of water required should be predicated on the practical critical area (m<sup>2</sup>) multiplied by the application rate (3.75 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>) giving a discharge rate for water (in L/min). The discharge rate should be multiplied by the discharge duration to calculate the amount of water needed.</i></p> <p><i>Note.— Sea-water may be used.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.13  Recommendation	<p>6.2.3.13 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>The discharge duration should be at least three minutes.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.3.14  Recommendation	<p>6.2.3.14 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Complementary media should be in accordance with Table 6-3 to H0 levels for helidecks up to and including 16.0 m and to H1/H2 levels for helidecks greater than 16.0 m. Helidecks greater than 24 m should adopt H3 levels.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.4.1  Recommendation	<p>6.2.4 Response time</p> <p>6.2.4.1 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>At surface-level heliports, the operational objective of the RFF response should be to achieve response times not exceeding two minutes in optimum conditions of visibility and surface conditions.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>Response time is considered to be the time between the initial call to the RFFS and the time when the first responding vehicle(s) (the service) is (are) in position to apply foam at a rate of at least 50 per cent of the discharge rate specified in Table 6-2.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.4.2  Recommendation	<p>6.2.4.2 <b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>At elevated heliports, limited-sized surface-level heliports and helidecks, the response time for the discharge of primary media at the required application rate should be 15 seconds measured from system activation. If RFF personnel are needed, they should be immediately available on or in the vicinity of the heliport while helicopter movements are taking place.</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.5.1  Recommendation	<p>6.2.5 Rescue arrangements</p> <p><b>Recommendation.</b>— <i>Rescue arrangements commensurate with the overall risk of the helicopter operation should be provided at the heliport.</i></p> <p><i>Note.</i>— <i>Guidance on rescue arrangements, e.g. options for rescue and for personal protective equipment to be provided at a heliport, is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i></p>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.6.1  Recommendation	6.2.6 Communication and alerting system  <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>A suitable alerting and/or communication system should be provided in accordance with the emergency response plan.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.7.1  Standard	6.2.7 Personnel  <i>Note.— The provision of RFF personnel may be determined by use of a task/resource analysis. Guidance is given in the Heliport Manual (Doc 9261).</i>  6.2.7.1 Where provided, the number of RFF personnel shall be sufficient for the required task.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.7.2  Standard	6.2.7.2 Where provided, RFF personnel shall be trained to perform their duties, and maintain their competence.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.7.3  Standard	6.2.7.3 Rescue and firefighting personnel shall be provided with protective equipment.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL



Report on entire Annex

Annex Reference	AERODROMES  Standard or Recommended Practice	State Legislation, Regulation or Document Reference	Level of implementation of SARP's	Text of the difference to be notified to ICAO	Comments including the reason for the difference
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.8.1  Standard	6.2.8 Means of escape  6.2.8.1 Elevated heliports and helidecks shall be provided with a main access and at least one additional means of escape.	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL
Chapter 6 Reference 6.2.8.2  Recommendation	6.2.8.2 <b>Recommendation.</b> — <i>Access points should be located as far apart from each other as is practicable.</i>  <i>Note.— The provision of an alternative means of escape is necessary for evacuation and for access by RFF personnel. The size of an emergency access/egress route may require consideration of the number of passengers and of special operations such as helicopter emergency medical services that require passengers to be carried on stretchers or trolleys.</i>	CAR	No Difference	NIL	NIL

- END -